Factors Influencing E-Entrepreneurial Intention among Female Students in Saudi Arabia

Samar Alzamel*, Mohammad Nazri and Safiah Omar

Department of Business Strategy and Policy, Faculty of Business and Accountancy, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Petaling Jaya, Zip Code: 50603, Malaysia

Abstract: Entrepreneurship has attracted enhanced attention in the twenty-first century. Entrepreneurial schemes vary the production and service sectors of a country's economy and lead it to increase its revenues and materialize speedy growth and development. However, the current Saudi regime has allowed enhanced social space to females, and a new social environment has paved the way for them to partake business opportunities actively. Thus, the aim of this study is to explore the direct impact of perceived social support towards e-entrepreneurial intention as well as the direct influence of perceived social support on the theory of planned behavior (TPB) components encompass attitude towards entrepreneurial, subjective norms, and self-efficacy of entrepreneurship. Also, this study examines the direct effect of the theory of planned behavior (TPB) components (attitude towards entrepreneurial, subjective norms, and entrepreneurial self-efficacy). Data were collected through questionnaires which were completed by 534 undergraduate female Saudi students have been selected randomly studying at various Saudi universities. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was used to test the model, and data analysis was carried out using Structural Equation Modelling - Partial Least Square (SEM-PLS). This study, therefore revealed that perceived social support has a positive impact on the e-entrepreneurship intention as well as the relationship between perceived social support and the components of the TPB (attitude towards entrepreneurial intention, subjective norms, and entrepreneurial self-efficacy) were statistically significant. Subsequently, the direct effect of the TPB components (attitude towards entrepreneurial and entrepreneurial self-efficacy) was significant, while the direct effect related to subjective norms and the e-entrepreneurial intention was insignificant. Therefore, this study offers theoretical and practical contributions to entrepreneurial studies.

Keywords: Perceived Social Support, Theory of Planned Behavior, Attitude Towards Entrepreneurial, Subjective Norms, Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy, E-Entrepreneurship Intention, Saudi Arabia.

1. INTRODUCTION

Entrepreneurship in the 21st century has attracted so much attention. The establishing of new businesses has enhanced socio-economic development. Existing scholarship demonstrates that well-defined relevant public policies and support from social and institutional stakeholders are likely to affect the expansion of entrepreneurial activities positively. (Ramayah & Harun, 2005; Faroog, et al., 2018; Tehseen, et al., 2020). Thus, in today's ambivalent economic landscape, development of entrepreneurial opportunities provides the needed impetus to economic landscape given the fact that entrepreneurial ventures not only enhance employment but also contribute towards the accumulation of revenue, leading to the increased gross domestic product (GDP) (Danish & Smith, 2012; Welsh et al., 2014; Ali, 2016; Faroog, 2018).

Perceived social support (PSS) refers to the perceived entrepreneurial support that comes from social context landscape (Farooq, 2018). Zhao, et al., (2005) observed that apart from psychological

attributes and inclinations of individuals, skills, abilities, and social context also influence entrepreneurial intentions. Studies demonstrate that environmental influences and environmental support - support from the peers and family - are major causative factor behind the entrepreneurial intention. Social support the beliefs networks and of Saudi female undergraduate students about possible support that they can avail are likely to govern the making or breaking of entrepreneurial intention (Ruttmann, 2012; Al Halbusi, & Tehseen, 2017; Ahmad & Sahar, 2019). Perceived social support (PSS) from family and peers has been identified as a having significant influence towards developing or restricting entrepreneurial capability among females, especially at the stage when they are planning or initiating some new business venture (Robb and Coleman, 2010; Al Halbusi, & Tehseen, 2018). At later stages, social support has been identified as a key factor behind the success of females (Danish & Smith, 2012). Sahban, Kumar and Ramalu (2014) list emotional, esteem, instrumental, informational, and work support as elements of perceived social support (PSS), while family, peergroups, and society as agencies of PSS in their exploratory study. Farooq et al., (2018) and Farrukh et al., (2017) found that PSS has a recognizable influence on entrepreneurial intention and has been reckoned as one of the key indicators for predicting entrepreneurial intentions.

E-ISSN: 1929-4409/20 © 2020 Lifescience Global

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Business Strategy and Policy, Faculty of Business and Accountancy, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Petaling Jaya, Zip Code: 50603, Malaysia; E-mail: sresearch2484@yahoo.com

While explaining his hallmark theoretical proposition of the theory of planned behavior (TPB) Ajzen (1991) maintained that interpretation of behavior towards something has plausible linkage with attitude and behavioural beliefs towards it; subjective norms. The attitude of individuals towards a specific behavior refer to the extent to which that individual evaluates a set of behaviours or action as useful; a parameter that suggests favorability or unfavourability of individuals' evaluation of the intention embark upon entrepreneurial career (Enkel, & Bader, 2016). Therefore, the TPB components play a key role in e-entrepreneurship

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND AND HYPO-THESIS DEVELOPMENT

2.1. Perceived Social Support and E- Entrepreneurial Intention

Perceived support comes from the social context that female undergraduate students can avail, in the present case in landscape (Zhao, et al., 2005). Scholars detected that apart from psychological attributes and inclinations of individuals, skills, abilities, and social context also influence entrepreneurial intentions: also environmental influences environmental support - support from the peers and family - are a major causative factor behind entrepreneurial intention (Danish & Smith, 2012 Sahban, et al., 2014). Hence, perceived social support (PSS) from family and peers has been identified as a having significant influence towards developing or restricting entrepreneurial capability among females. especially at the stage when they are planning or initiating some new business venture (Robb and Coleman, 2010; Molino et al., 2018).

In addition, Molino et al., (2018) investigated the relationship between perceived social support and entrepreneurial intentions for 658 Italians employees. The results showed that perceived social support is positively related to employees' entrepreneurial intentions. Further, in Farooq et al., (2018) have found that perceived social support is positively related to students' entrepreneurial intentions in United of Arab Emirate (UAE). Thus, and based on above-mentioned evidence, the following hypothesis is suggested.

H1: Perceived Social Support has a positive relationship with female students e-entrepreneurship intention.

2.2. Perceived Social Support and Attitude Towards Entrepreneurship

Attitude towards initiating start-ups, wealth generation, and gaining control have a strong relation inducing entrepreneurial behaviour strengthening entrepreneurial intentions (Dahalan, et al., 2015).; Potishuk & Kratzer, 2017). External clues, such as knowledge and exposure, and internal perceptions about one's capability, are likely to strengthen attitudes towards entrepreneurial career, which in turn is likely to strengthen entrepreneurial intention. Apart from these, Ajzen, (2011) maintains that on the premise of expectancy-value model, attitude toward a behaviour is determinable by accessible behavioural beliefs, which connect a particular behaviour outcome and desired or anticipated attributes. The strength of each belief would be evaluated in the context of the outcome or attribute, and the products would be aggregated. Interestingly, two individuals may harbour an equally strong belief about the potential risks or challenges in partaking entrepreneurial career, yet their dimensions of perceptions might be different – one taking challenges as hurdles, while the other sees those as opportunities to show one's mettle. Therefore, the two-element process of attitude formation explains the scenario in which individuals may show similar attitudes despite having different beliefs and vice versa (Sahban et al., 2016; Bogoviz, et al., 2018; Ahmad & Ahmad, 2018). So, in this context, this study explored how attitudes towards entrepreneurship are guided by the perceived social support under the moderating impact of resource accessibility and how these attitudes then regulate or deregulate entrepreneurial intentions of Saudi female undergraduate students.

Accordingly, the prior empirical studies have found shown that perceived social support is positively related to attitude towards entrepreneurial (Tsai et al., 2016; Utami, 2017; Al-Jubari, Hassan, & Hashim, 2017; Farooq, 2018). Therefore, based on the abovementioned evidence, the hypothesis is as fellows.

H2: Perceived Social Support has a positive relationship with the attitude towards entrepreneurship.

2.3. Perceived Social Support and Subjective **Norms**

Subjective norms refer to the social pressure or influences that coalesce or motivate an individual to perform a specific behaviour, and individuals' motivation to comply with those normative directions (Aizen, 2006). Subjective norms (SNs) are mostly

regulated by the opinion of significant others as individuals are more likely to develop a positive attitude towards entrepreneurship when their decisions to partake the course is likely to receive approval from significant others, and the entrepreneurship is perceived positively among the latter (Heuer, & Liñán, 2013). However, normative beliefs are hinged upon the probability that the significant others will approve or reject a behaviour; therefore, those others determine the nature and extent of the norms on how the actor or intender has to behave. That, therefore depending on the social environment and the nature of support that one can perceive from the social environment for or against the behaviour, the normative pressures may assume the form of a motivator or the barrier to the development of an entrepreneurial intention. Additionally, availability of relevant resources is likely to moderate the nature and prowess of established as well as establishing subjective norms that are likely to eventually determine the nature and strength of entrepreneurial intention among Saudi female undergraduate students. This normative belief is explored by considering the motivation to comply with the referenced actors - significant others, and the products are aggregated to observe their impact on entrepreneurial intention of the target population (Ahmad & Ahmad, 2019; Heuer, & Liñán, 2013; Farooq et al., 2018). It is therefore hypothesized that.

H3: Perceived Social Support has a positive relationship with subjective norms.

2.4. Perceived Social Support and Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy

Bandura (1997) explained self-efficacy as a tenet of self-control which is likely to enable or hinder an individual from treading a specific path. Self-efficacy in relation to entrepreneurship relates to the judgment that about how far an individual deems oneself suited to execute activities and follow the course of action that is required by entrepreneurial ventures, while in relation to e-entrepreneurship, entrepreneurial self-efficacy (ESE) refers to the individuals' self-belief regarding one's ability to avail the required resources and carry out online entrepreneurship procedures effectively. Also, an entrepreneurial self-efficacy for assessing a person's belief that s/he can create a new business success is developed and validated (Schjoedt & Craig, 2017).

However, studies have found a significant correlation between the likelihood of an individual becoming an entrepreneur and entrepreneurial selfefficacy (Westhead, & Solesvik, 2016; Shinnar, *et al.*, 2014). Thus, perceived social support is an important predictor as found in the past researches that perceived social support has a positive relationship with employees' entrepreneurial intentions (Molino *et al.*, 2018; Farooq *et al.*, 2018). Accordingly, we suggested the hypothesis as follows.

H4: Perceived Social Support has a positive relationship with entrepreneurial self-efficacy.

2.5. Attitude Towards Entrepreneurship and E-Entrepreneurship Intention

As Ajzen, (2005), an attitude refers to the individual's general feeling of favorableness or unavoidableness toward various stimulus objects or business. If they have beliefs about an object or business, they automatically acquire an attitude toward the particular objector business. So, attitudes are based on the total set of the person's salient beliefs and the evaluations associated with those beliefs (Guerrero, et al., 2016). However, individuals who get to know their referents have started a business be more likely to see it as legitimate. Neacşu, et al., (2015) found that the attitude toward the behavior has a direct and positive effect on entrepreneurial intentions. Hence, education and training should focus on changing personal attitudes than providing technical knowledge about business because the effects could be more significant to the process of business creation and to overcome the perceived barriers to entrepreneurship. This result illustrated a very strong influence of attitude on intention whereby attitude is in fact, a deterministic variable for intention. So, based on the above-mentioned, the following hypothesis is proposed.

H5: Attitude towards entrepreneurship has a positive relationship with entrepreneurial female e-entrepreneurship intention.

2.6. Subjective Norms and E-Entrepreneurship Intention

Subjective norm of an entrepreneur also known as social norm refers to the perceived social pressure to perform or not to perform the desired behavior; however, pressure from family, friends and society affect one's behavior to become an entrepreneur (Burtch, et al., 2018). Subjective norm has been challenged by many researchers, stating the relevancy in predicting entrepreneurial intention. There are some who found that subjective norm is insignificant in

predicting entrepreneurial intention, some who found that subjective norm is significant (Edwards-Schachter, et al., 2015; Rudhumbu, et al., 2016; Okoye, 2017). However, Krithika, & Venkatachalam, (2014) stated that subjective norm has a significant direct relationship with self-employed intention by testing on first-year Norwegian undergraduate business students. Therefore, the hypothetical has been stated.

H6: Subjective norms have a positive relationship with entrepreneurial female e-entrepreneurship intention.

Entrepreneurship Self-Efficacy 2.7. and E-**Entrepreneurship Intention**

Self-efficacy as a tenet of self-control that is likely to enable or hinder an individual from treading a specific path (Bandura, 1997). Self-efficacy in relation to entrepreneurship relates to the judgment that about how far an individual deems oneself suited to execute activities and follow the course of action that is required by entrepreneurial ventures, while in relation to eentrepreneurship, entrepreneurial self-efficacy (ESE) refers to the individuals' self-belief regarding one's ability to avail the required resources and carry out online entrepreneurship procedures effectively. Also, a unidimensional entrepreneurial self-efficacy assessing a person's belief that s/he can create a new business success is developed and validated (Schjoedt & Craig, 2017) and has been linked to entrepreneurs' intentions to pursue opportunities and choices about whether to start new ventures (Zhao et al., 2005).

Shinnar, et al., (2014) assert that positive relation between ESE and entrepreneurial intention is stronger in female undergraduate students. Capitalizing on these propositions, the impact of entrepreneurial selfefficacy would be analyzed in relation to Eentrepreneurial intentions among Saudi female undergraduate students. In line with this result, Molino et al., (2018) have emphasized that perceived social support has a positive relationship with employees' entrepreneurial intentions. Accordingly, the hypothesis is stated.

H7: Entrepreneurship self-efficacy has a positive relationship with entrepreneurial female eentrepreneurship intention.

3. METHOD

3.1. Sample and Procedures

The study focused on Saudi female undergraduate students' intention to engage in online entrepreneurship. The target population was Saudi female undergraduate students undertaking business studies in public and private universities. Thus, data were collected through questionnaires which were completed by 534 undergraduate female Saudi students have been selected randomly studying at various Saudi universities.

3.2. Variables Measurement

Since all the respondents Arabic speaking, the questionnaire items were translated from English to Arabic. Prior to translating into Arabic, the researchers ensured that the English version was accurate comprehensible and acceptable. Subsequently, the questionnaire was translated adhering to the "doubleblind principle" (Brislin, 1980), where the original English version was translated into Arabic. To guarantee validity, the Arabic version was again translated back into English with the help of two researchers familiar with the field of study.

Therefore, perceived social support was measured with 12-items adapted from (Sahban, et al., 2016). Attitude towards entrepreneurship and subjective norms were adapted from (Ajzen, 1991) and were measured with 5-items and 3-items, respectively. Using 11-items taken from (Schjoedt & Craig, 2017) to measure entrepreneurial self-efficacy. Finally, to measures, e-entrepreneurship intention was slightly adapted from (Farooq et al., 2018).

4. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

In this research, structural equation modelling (SEM) was employed via the partial least squares (PLS) approach for analyzing the research model using the SmartPLS software version 3.2.7 (Ringle, et al., 2015). First, Hair, et al., (2017) and Anderson and Gerbing (1988) recommended application of two analytical techniques starting with the evaluation of the measurement model (dependability and validity) the structural model (hypothesis followed bν relationship assessment). A two-step assessment approach, comprising both a measurement model and a structural model, has advantages over the one-step assessment approach (Lomax & Schumacker, 2004; Hair, et al., 2010). Hair et al., (2017) proposed that a measurement model indicates the measuring approach for each construct to explain the relationship existing between variables, while the structural model indicates how the constructs are related to each other. The partial least squares (PLS) statistical method was

chosen for the present study because it possesses features that can simultaneously analyze the measurement model and the structural model for accurate estimation.

4.1. Measurement Model

Convergent validity is the extent to which multiple items are in agreement while measuring the same concept (Hair et al., 2017). The reflective constructs' convergent validity can be evaluated by considering the indicators' outer loadings and the average Variance extracted (AVE) (Hair et al., 2017; Hair et al., 2014). Average Variance Extracted (AVE) is the common measure to establish convergent validity on the construct level. AVE is defined as the grand mean value of the indicators' squared loadings associated with the construct. 0.50 or higher value of an AVE indicates that on average more than half of indicators' Variance is explained by the construct. On the other hand, AVE less than 0.50 represents that less Variance is explained by the construct on average and more Variance remains in the items' error (Hair et al., 2014; Hair et al., 2017). The AVE was found above the threshold value of 0.50, ranging from (0.503 to 0.747). Hence, we may conclude that the measurement model established, as indicated in Appendix 1.

Regarding, discriminant validity, two approaches were used to assess the discriminant validity (1) Fornell-Larcker criterion and (2) HTMT. Thus, the study

found that square root of AVE of each of the constructs was greater than its correlation with other constructs as shown in Table 1. The square root of the AVE for each of the constructs is shown in the diagonals that are greater than the other values in the columns and row on that specific construct indicating sufficient discriminant validity (Fornell & Larcker, 1981).

Additionally, Henseler et al., (2015) have proposed the assessment of the correlations' Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio (HTMT) to evaluate the discriminant validity. "HTMT" is the mean of all correlations of indicators across constructs measuring different constructs (the heterotrait-heteromethod correlations) relative to the (geometric) mean of the average correlations of indicators measuring the same construct" (Hair et al., 2017, p. 123). So, HTMT approach indicates the estimation of the true correlation between two perfectly measured constructs. This true correlation is also known as disattenuated correlation. If the disattenuated correlation is close to 1 between two constructs than it indicates a lack of discriminant validity. Henseler et al., (2015) have suggested a threshold value of 0.90 for HTMT. Above 0.90 indicates a lack of discriminant validity. The HTMT value for each of the constructs was found below the threshold value of 0.90 as shown in Table 2. Thus, HTMT values are less than the suggested value which is 0.90. So, the discriminant validity is established by HTMT criterion.

Table 1: Discriminant Validity via Fornell and Larcher Criterion

Variables	1	2	3	4	5
Attitude Towards Entrepreneur	0.781				
2. E-Entrepreneurial Intention	0.649	0.864			
Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy	0.543	0.601	0.695		
4. Perceived Social Support	0.242	0.244	0.500	0.635	
5. Subjective Norms	0.334	0.260	0.427	0.479	0.309

Note: Bold values on the diagonal are the square roots of the average Variance extracted, shared between the constructs and their respective measures.

Table 2: Discriminant Validity via (HTMT Criterion)

Variables	1	2	3	4	5
Attitude Towards Entrepreneur					
2. E-Entrepreneurial Intention	0.711				
3. Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy	0.609	0.632			
4. Perceived Social Support	0.289	0.283	0.559		
5. Subjective Norms	0.403	0.294	0.485	0.546	

Note: HTMT Should be lower than 0.90.

Table 3: Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis	Relationship	SB	SE	t- value	p- value	Bias and Corrected	Decision	
						BCI 95% LL	BCI 95% UL	Decision
H-1	PSS-> EEI	0.066	0.039	1.705	0.004	0.128	0.002	Supported
H-2	PSS-> ATE	0.242	0.054	4.455	0.000	0.151	0.322	Supported
H-3	PSS-> SN	0.479	0.046	3.181	0.000	0.393	0.551	Supported
H-4	PSS-> ESE	0.500	0.052	9.619	0.000	0.411	0.579	Supported
H-5	ATE-> EEI	0.468	0.039	9.192	0.000	0.406	0.538	Supported
H-6	SN-> EEI	-0.037	0.035	1.061	0.145	-0.097	0.015	Not Supported
H-7	ESE -> E-EI	0.324	0.049	6.565	0.000	0.246	0.406	Supported

Note: PSS-> E-EI = Perceived Social Support -> E-Entrepreneurial Intention, PSSP-> ATE= Perceived Social Support -> Attitude Towards Entrepreneur, PSS->SJN= Perceived Social Support -> Subjective Norms, PSS-> ISF= Perceived Social Support -> Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy, ATE-> E-EI= Attitude Towards Entrepreneur -> E-Entrepreneurial Intention, SN-> E-EI= Subjective Norms -> E-Entrepreneurial Intention, ESE-> E-EI= Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy -> E-Entrepreneurial Intention.

4.2. Structural Model Analysis

Hair et al. (2017) recently proposed the requirements for testing a structural model by analyzing the latent problem of collinearity, beta (β), R2 and the related t-values through the bootstrapping method, usually using 5000 resamples. Therefore, the impact size (f2) also had to be reported as recommended.

4.2.1. Hypothesis Assessment

This section is discussed the analysis of the direct effect; thus, the presentations of the seven hypotheses of direct effects are described below respectively. The first relationship between perceived social support and E-entrepreneurial intention (H1) was accepted with values of (β = 0.066, t-value = 1.705, p-value = 0.004). For the second hypothesis (H2), which presents the perceived social support and attitude towards entrepreneur was also supported with ($\beta = 0.242$, tvalue = 4.455, p-value = 0.000). The third hypothesis (H3) that shown the relationship between perceived social support and subjective norms was statistically significant (β = 0.479, t-value = 3.181, p-value = 0.000). For the relationship between perceived social support entrepreneurial self-efficacy hypothesis (H4) was supported with values (β = 0.500, t-value = 9.619, pvalue = 0.000). For the hypothesis five (H5) of the relation between attitude towards entrepreneur Eentrepreneurial intention which was supported with (β = 0.468, t value = 9.192, p-value = 0.000). Hypotheses six (H6) which presented the relationship between subjective norms -> E-entrepreneurial intention was not supported with (β = -0.037, t-value = 1.061, p-value = 0.145). Finally, hypothesis seven (H7) of the relationship between entrepreneurial self-efficacy and E-entrepreneurial intention was accepted with $(\beta =$

0.324, t-value = 6.565, p-value = 0.000). Hence, the mentioned results are shown in Table **3**.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

As previously mentioned, the goal of this study is thus to explore the direct impact of perceived social support on e-entrepreneurial intention as well as the direct influence of perceived social support on the theory of planned behaviour (TPB) components encompass attitude towards entrepreneurial, subjective norms and entrepreneurial self-efficacy. This study also looks at the direct effect of TPB components on the E-entrepreneurial intention.

The findings of this study revealed as followed: The emergent issues the statistical analysis shown that perceived social support as significant effect on the eentrepreneurial intention as well perceived social support is positively influencing the TPB components (attitude towards e-entrepreneurship, subjective norms, and entrepreneurial self-efficacy). Subsequently, this study examined the direct effect of the TPB components (attitude towards e-entrepreneurship subjective norms and entrepreneurial self-efficacy) towards e-entrepreneurial intention and the statistical results revealed as significant corrections between attitude towards e-entrepreneurship and entrepreneurial intention as well as between entrepreneurial self-efficacy and e-entrepreneurial intention, however, the relationship between subjective norms and the e-entrepreneurial intention was insignificant.

In conclusion, this study provides an important implication for knowledge and practice. For the

knowledge side. it expanded on the prior entrepreneurial studies. Moreover, in practice, Saudi Arabia is currently experiencing visible changes in its economic environment, as it feels the heat of price fluctuations as its only major source of revenue, oil. The main recommendations based on the study is that The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia ought to diversify its economic base by focusing more on its human capital. Moreover, it should consider the saturation of job markets, which can be triggered by sporadic depressions in global economies.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, I., & Ahmad, S. (2018). Multiple Skills and Medium Enterprises' Performance in Punjab Pakistan: A Pilot Study. Journal of Social Sciences Research, 7(4), 44-49.
- Ahmad, I., & Ahmad, S. (2019). The Mediation Effect of Strategic Planning on The Relationship Between Business Skills and Firm's Performance: Evidence from Medium Enterprises in Punjab, Pakistan. Opcion, 35(24), 746-778.
- Ahmad, I., Sahar. (2019). Waste Management Analysis From Economic Environment Sustainability Perspective. International Journal Of Scientific & Technology Research 8(12), 1540-1543.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behaviour. Organizational Behaviour and Human Decision Processes, 50, 179-211. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T
- Ajzen, I. (2005). Theory of planned behavior: Frequently asked questions. Retrieved: June 30, 2017, http://www.people.umass.edu/aizen/faq.html.
- Ajzen, I. (2006). Constructing a theory of planned behavior questionnaire: Conceptual and methodological considerations. Retrieved September 15, 2015.
- Ajzen, I. (2011). The Theory of Planned Behaviour Reactions and Reflections. Psychology & Health, 26, 1113-1127. https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2011.613995
- Al Halbusi, H., & Tehseen, S. (2017). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR): A Literature Review. Malaysian Journal of Business and Economics, 4(2), 30-48. https://doi.org/10.21272/sec.3(2).83-94.2018
- Al Halbusi, H., & Tehseen, S. (2018). The Effect of Electronic Word-Of-Mouth (EWOM) On Brand Im-age and Purchase Intention: A Conceptual Paper.
- Ali, T. (2016). Explaining the intent to start a business among Saudi Arabia University Students. International Review of Management and Marketing, 2016, 6(2), 345-353.
- Al-Jubari, I., Hassan, A., & Hashim, J. (2017). The role of autonomy as a predictor of entrepreneurial intention among university students in Yemen. International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business, 30(3), 325-340. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJESB.2017.081950
- Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. Psychological bulletin, 103(3), 411. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.103.3.411
- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioural change. Psychological Review, 84, 191-215. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.191
- Bogoviz, A. V., Litvinova, T. N., Lobova, S. V., & Ragulina, Y. V. (2018). Infrastructural provision of international entrepreneurship: theory and practice. International Journal of Trade and Global Markets, 11(3), 190-199. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTGM.2018.095815

- Brislin, R. W. (1980). Translation and content analysis of oral and written materials. In H. C. Triandis & J. W. Berry (Eds.), Handbook of cross-cultural psychology: Methodology (pp. 389–444). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Burtch, G., Carnahan, S., & Greenwood, B. N. (2018). Can you gig it? An empirical examination of the gig economy and entrepreneurial activity. Management Science, 64(12), 5497-5520. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2017.2916
- Dahalan, M., Jaafar, M., &Rosdi, S. A. M. (2015). Attitude and entrepreneurial intention among rural community: The mediating role of entrepreneurial opportunity recognition. SHS Web of Conferences, 18, 01005. https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20151801005
- Danish, A. Y. & Smith, H. L. (2012). Female entrepreneurship in Saudi Arabia: opportunities and challenges. International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship, 4(3), 216 235. https://doi.org/10.1108/17566261211264136
- Edwards-Schachter, M., García-Granero, A., Sánchez-Barrioluengo, M., Quesada-Pineda, H., & Amara, N. (2015). Disentangling competences: Interrelationships on creativity, innovation and entrepreneurship. Thinking Skills and Creativity, 16, 27-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2014.11.006
- Enkel, E. & Bader, K. (2016). Why do experts contribute in crossindustry innovation? A structural model of motivational factors, intention and behaviour. Research and Development in Management 46, 207–226. https://doi.org/10.1111/radm.12132
- Farooq, M. S., Salam, M., Rehman, S., Fayolle, A., Jaafar, N., &Ayupp, K. (2018). Impact of support from social network on entrepreneurial intention of fresh business graduates: A structural equation modelling approach. Education and Training, 60(4), 335 353. https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-06-2017-0092
- Farrukh, M.S., Khan, A. A., Khan, A. A., Shahid K, M., Shahid, M., Ravan R., ...Soladoye, B. S. A. (2017). Entrepreneurial intentions: The role of family factors, personality traits and self-efficacy. World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development, 13(4), 303–317. https://doi.org/10.1108/WJEMSD-03-2017-0018
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluation of structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: Algebra and statistics. A second generation of multivariate analysis, 2. https://doi.org/10.2307/3150980
- Guerrero, M., Urbano, D., & Fayolle, A. (2016). Entrepreneurial activity and regional competitiveness: evidence from European entrepreneurial universities. The Journal of Technology Transfer, 41(1), 105-131. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-014-9377-4
- Hair Jr, J., Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L., & G. Kuppelwieser, V. (2014). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) An emerging tool in business research. European Business Review, 26(2), 106-121. https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2013-0128
- Hair, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., Anderson, R.E., (2010). Multivariate Data Analysis, seventh ed. Pearson, New York.
- Hair, J.F., Hult, G.T.M., Ringle, C., Sarstedt, M., (2017). A Primeron Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM), seconded. SAGE, London: Thousand Oaks. https://doi.org/10.15358/9783800653614
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 43(1), 115-135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8
- Heuer, A., & Liñán, F. (2013). Testing alternative measures of subjective norms in entrepreneurial intention models.

- International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business, 19 (1), 35-50. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJESB.2013.054310
- Krithika, J., & Venkatachalam, B. (2014). A study on impact of subjective norms on entrepreneurial intention among the business students in Bangalore. IOSR Journal of Business and Management, 16(5), 48-50. https://doi.org/10.9790/487X-16534850
- Lomax, R. G., & Schumacker, R. E. (2004). A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling, psychology press. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410610904
- Molino, M., Dolce, V., Cortese, C. G., & Ghislieri, C. (2018). Personality and social support as determinants of entrepreneurial intention. Gender differences in Italy. PloS one, 13(6). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199924
- Neacșu, M. N., Rădulescu, R., Diţoiu, M. C., Aluculesei, A. C., & Mahika, E. C. (2015). Study Regarding the Entrepreneurial Intention Among Young People in The Context Of The Romanian Business Environment. European Journal of Business and Social Sciences, 4(01), 121-135.
- Okoye, A. C. (2017). Entrepreneurship education: A panacea for graduate unemployment in Nigeria. Online Journal of Arts, Management & Social Sciences, 2(1).
- Potishuk, V. &Kratzer J. (2017). Factors affecting entrepreneurial intentions and entrepreneurial attitudes in higher education. Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 20(1), 25 - 44. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2017.1336215
- Ramayah, T. and Harun, Z. (2005). Entrepreneurial intention among the students of UniversitiSains Malaysia (USM). International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship, 1(1), 8-20.
- Ringle, C.M., Wende, S., Becker, J.-M., (2015). SmartPLS 3. Bonningstedt: SmartPLS. Retrieved December 30, 2018, from http://www.smartpls.com.
- Robb, A. & Coleman. S. (2010). Financing strategies of new technology-based firms: A comparison of women-and menowned firms. Journal of Technology Management and Innovation 5(1), 30-50. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-27242010000100003
- Rudhumbu, N., Svotwa, D., Munyanyiwa, T., & Mutsau, M. (2016). Attitudes of students towards entrepreneurship education at two selected higher education institutions in Botswana: A critical analysis and reflection. Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 5(2), 83. https://doi.org/10.5901/ajis.2016.v5n2p83
- Ruttmann, R. (2012). Investing for impact: How social entrepreneurship is redefining the meaning of return. Credit Suisse Research Institute and Schwab Foundation, 58.
- Sahban, M. A., Kumar M, D. & Sri Ramalu, S. (2014). Model Confirmation through Qualitative Research: Social Support System toward Entrepreneurial Desire. Asian Social Science, 10(22), 17-28. https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v10n22p17

- Sahban, M. A., Ramalu, S. S., & Syahputra, R. (2016). The influence of social support on entrepreneurial inclination among business students in Indonesia. Information Management and Business Review, 8(3), 32-46. https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v8i3.1330
- Sahban, M. A., Ramalu, S. S., & Syahputra, R. (2016). The influence of social support on entrepreneurial inclination among business students in Indonesia. Information Management and Business Review, 8(3), 32-46. https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v8i3.1330
- Schjoedt, L., & Craig, J. B. (2017). Development and validation of a unidimensional domain-specific entrepreneurial self-efficacy scale. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEBR-11-2015-0251
- Shinnar, R., Hsu, D., & Powell, B. (2014). Self-efficacy, entrepreneurial intentions, and gender: Assessing the impact entrepreneurship education longitudinally. International Journal of Management Education, 12, 561https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2014.09.005
- Tehseen, S., Khalid, S., Rather, R. A., Qureshi, Z. H., & Halbusi, H. A. (2020). HRM practices for knowledge management and retail firms' performances: a comparative study among Malay and Chinese firms. International Journal of Entrepreneurship, 24(1), 1-7.
- Tsai, K. H., Chang, H. C., & Peng, C. Y. (2016). Refining the linkage between perceived capability and entrepreneurial intention: Roles of perceived opportunity, fear of failure, and gender. International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal, 12(4), 1127-1145. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-016-0383-x
- Utami, C. W. (2017). Attitude, subjective norms, perceived behaviour, entrepreneurship education and self-efficacy toward entrepreneurial intention university student in Indonesia. European Research Studies Journal, 20(2A), 475-495. https://doi.org/10.35808/ersj/654
- Welsh, D.H.B., Memili, E., Kaciak, E., & Al-Sadoon, A. (2014). Saudi women entrepreneurs: A growing economic segment. Journal of Business Research, 67 (5), 758-762. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.11.040
- Westhead, P. & Solesvik, M. (2016). Entrepreneurship education and entrepreneurial intention: Do female students benefit?. International Small Business Journal, 34, 979-1003. https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242615612534
- Zhao, H., Seibert S. E., and Hills G. E. (2005). The mediating role of self-efficacy in the development of entrepreneurial intentions. Journal of Applied Psychology, 90(6), 1265 – 1272. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.90.6.1265

Received on 06-11-2020 Accepted on 13-12-2020 Published on 26-12-2020

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6000/1929-4409.2020.09.234

© 2020 Alzamel et al.; Licensee Lifescience Global.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.