Triglyceridemic Waist Phenotypes as Risk Factors for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Abstract: Introduction: Triglyceride waist phenotypes, which combine high triglyceride levels and central obesity, have recently emerged as an area of interest in metabolic disease research.

Objective: To conduct a systematic review (SR) with meta-analysis to determine if triglyceride waist phenotypes are a risk factor for T2D.

Materials: SR with meta-analysis of cohort studies. The search was conducted in four databases: PubMed/Medline, Scopus, Web of Science, and EMBASE. Participants were classified into four groups, based on triglyceride level and waist circumference (WC): 1) Normal WC and normal triglyceride level (NWNT); 2) Normal WC and high triglyceride level (NWHT), 3) Altered WC and normal triglyceride level (EWNT) and 4) Altered WC and high triglyceride level (EWHT). For the meta-analysis, only studies whose measure of association were presented as Hazard ratio (HR) along with 95% confidence intervals (CI95%) were used.

Results: Compared to people with NWHT, a statistically significant association was found for those with NWHT (HR: 2.65; CI95% 1.77–3.95), EWNT (HR: 2.54; CI95% 2.05–3.16) and EWHT (HR: 4.41; CI95% 2.82–6.89).

Conclusions: There is a clear association between triglyceride waist phenotypes and diabetes, according to this SR and meta-analysis. Although central obesity and high triglyceride levels are associated with a higher risk of the aforementioned disease, their combination appears to pose an even greater risk. Therefore, in the clinical setting, it is important to consider this when assessing the risk of diabetes.
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INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a metabolic disorder characterized by elevated blood glucose levels due to insulin abnormalities [1]. T2DM is a serious complication that could lead to heart disease, neuropathy, blindness, kidney failure, and even lower limb amputation [2].

T2DM is a major public health problem globally. In the United States, an average of 10.5% suffer from diabetes, with a higher prevalence among racial and ethnic minorities [3]; in Europe, 59 million, corresponding to 9.8% of adults [4]; in China, according to recent information, almost 10.9% [5], and in Latin America, 8% [6].

Triglyceride waist phenotypes, which encompass central obesity and high triglyceride levels, are increasingly becoming an area of interest in the study of metabolic diseases over several years [7-9].

Although several studies have indicated that these phenotypes may be a risk factor for T2DM [10-12], others have suggested the opposite [13,14]. Thus, their exact role in the etiology of T2DM remains a topic of debate that needs further investigation.

The association between these variables could be a topic of scientific and clinical interest, as this marker can be used for the prediction of diabetes. Therefore, in this paper, a systematic review (SR) with meta-analysis was developed to establish whether triglyceride waist phenotypes are a risk factor for T2DM.

METHODS

This research consists of a systematic review (SR) with a meta-analysis of cohort studies. The PRISMA statement (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) was followed to establish the structure of this study [15].

Search Strategy

This manuscript was developed using search strategies in four databases: PubMed/Medline, Scopus, Web of Science, and EMBASE. The key search terms...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author, Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Follow up time (mean or median)</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Population (selection criteria)</th>
<th>Sex (% female)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Definition of waist triglyceridemia phenotype</th>
<th>Prevalence of waist triglyceridemia phenotype (%)</th>
<th>Incidence of diabetes (%)</th>
<th>Diagnostic criteria for diabetes (any of the following options)</th>
<th>Association measure</th>
<th>Adjustment variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhang 2012</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>2908</td>
<td>Persons aged 20 years and older were eligible and were enrolled when they participated in their annual health examination. At baseline, subjects with prediabetes and subjects with diabetes or with a history of diabetes were excluded.</td>
<td>32.70%</td>
<td>≥90</td>
<td>WC used for HTGW detection (cm): Male = 50.1 ± 10.6, Female = 50.0 ± 12.9; EWHT: Male = 52.3 ± 15.34, Female = 50.6 ± 13.0</td>
<td>≥1.7 mmol/L, Male = 55.78%, Female = 17.02%; EWHT: Male = 14.72%, Female = 12.48%</td>
<td>≥80</td>
<td>FPG ≥ 7.0 mmol/L</td>
<td>Hazard ratio</td>
<td>Men: Reference NWHT: 1.55 (0.61–3.49); EWHT: 3.37 (1.43–7.90); Women: Reference NWHT: 3.66 (0.81–15.31); EWHT: 1.71 (1.03–2.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He 2013</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>15 years</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>People who have diabetes were excluded.</td>
<td>41.92%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>≥90 cm, ≥80 cm</td>
<td>WC used for HTGW detection (cm): Male = 51.7 ± 10.6, Female = 51.7 ± 11.0; EWHT: Male = 51.7 ± 12.0, Female = 51.7 ± 13.0</td>
<td>≥1.7 mmol/L, Male = 11.79%, Female = 8.3%</td>
<td>EWHT: 8.3%</td>
<td>Hazard ratio</td>
<td>EWHT: 4.1 (2.4–7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han 2014</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>People who have diabetes or missing data, especially for WC and lipid profiles were excluded.</td>
<td>28.30%</td>
<td>44.3 ± 6.5</td>
<td>≥90 cm, ≥85 cm</td>
<td>WC used for HTGW detection (cm): Male = 51.7 ± 10.6, Female = 51.7 ± 11.0; EWHT: Male = 51.7 ± 12.0, Female = 51.7 ± 13.0</td>
<td>≥1.7 mmol/L, Male = 61.72%, Female = 21.21%; EWHT: Male = 8.79%, Female = 8.28%</td>
<td>Hazard ratio</td>
<td>NWHT: Reference NWHT: 1.39 (0.71–2.33); EWHT: 2.25 (1.26–3.82); OGT: 1.57 (0.82–2.90)</td>
<td>Age, sex, total cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, alcohol drinking history and fasting plasma glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janghorbani 2016</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>7.3 years</td>
<td>1865</td>
<td>People who have diabetes were excluded.</td>
<td>73.90%</td>
<td>43 ± 0.15</td>
<td>≥102 cm, ≥88 cm</td>
<td>WC used for HTGW detection (cm): Male = 51.7 ± 10.6, Female = 51.7 ± 11.0; EWHT: Male = 51.7 ± 12.0, Female = 51.7 ± 13.0</td>
<td>≥1.7 mmol/L, Male = 21.4%, Female = 26.1%; EWHT: Male = 27.9%, Female = 24.6%</td>
<td>1) FPG ≥ 7.0 mmol/L, 2) GGT ≥ 11.1 mmol/L</td>
<td>Ods ratio</td>
<td>NWHT: Reference NWHT: 1.67 (1.05–2.64); EWHT: 1.83 (0.94–3.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Triglyceride Levels (mmol/L)</td>
<td>Waist Circumference (cm)</td>
<td>Glucose Tolerance</td>
<td>Other Risk Factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu 2020</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>7.7 years</td>
<td>15717</td>
<td>People who have diabetes or missing data were excluded.</td>
<td>58.18%</td>
<td>52.70 ± 11.56</td>
<td>≥ 90 cm</td>
<td>≥ 80 cm</td>
<td>≥ 1.7 mmol/L</td>
<td>≥ 1.7 mmol/L</td>
<td>NWNT: 54.81% NWHT: 21.98% EWHT: 10.14% EWT: 13.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen D 2021</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>6918</td>
<td>People who were under 45 years old, or missing data, especially for WC and lipid profiles were excluded.</td>
<td>53.87</td>
<td>59.6 ± 9.3 NWHT: 6.7 EWT: 6.8 NWHT: 9.2 EWT: 9.0</td>
<td>≥ 90 cm</td>
<td>≥ 85 cm</td>
<td>≥ 1.7 mmol/L</td>
<td>≥ 1.7 mmol/L</td>
<td>NWNT: 50.51% NWHT: 9.71% EWHT: 26.45% EWT: 13.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen G 2021</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>7397</td>
<td>People who were under 45 years old, or missing data, especially for WC and lipid profiles were excluded.</td>
<td>53.40%</td>
<td>25–49 years (13.4%) 50–59 years (35.7%) 60–69 years (29.5%) ≥ 70 years (15.4%)</td>
<td>≥ 90 cm</td>
<td>≥ 85 cm</td>
<td>≥ 1.7 mmol/L</td>
<td>≥ 1.7 mmol/L</td>
<td>NWNT: 51.9% NWHT/EWNT: 31.1% EWT: 13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Assessment of the Quality of the Included Studies using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for Cohort Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors, year</th>
<th>Selection of the exposed cohort</th>
<th>Selection of the non-exposed cohort</th>
<th>Exposure Ascertainment</th>
<th>Outcome not present at the start of the study</th>
<th>Study controls for sex and age</th>
<th>Study controls for any additional important factor</th>
<th>Assement of outcome</th>
<th>Length of follow-up</th>
<th>Adequacy of follow up</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Overall Judgement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhang 2012</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He 2013</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han 2014</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janghobani 2016</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu 2020</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen D 2021</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen G 2021</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
"diabetes" and "hypertriglyceridemic waist" were used. The specific search strategies used for each database are available in Supplementary Material 1.

**Selection Criteria**

Studies were included for analysis if they met the following criteria: 1) cohort study, 2) if blood glucose metrics were evaluated, such as fasting plasma glucose, two-hour plasma glucose level during an oral glucose tolerance test, or HbA1c, 3) where triglycerides were evaluated and abdominal waist was measured, 4) incidence of diabetes mellitus was reported, 5) the association of HTGW as a risk of diabetes was reported as adjusted odds ratios (ORs), relative risks (RRs), or hazard ratios (HRs) along with 95% confidence intervals (CI 95%).

Diabetes was defined as impaired fasting glucose, according to the criteria of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) definition, fasting plasma glucose (FPG) $\geq 7.0$ mmol/L ($\geq 126$ mg/dL) [16], 2-hour plasma glucose (OGTT) $\geq 11.1$ mmol/L ($\geq 200$ mg/dL) [16], or elevated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) ($\geq 6.5\%$) [16].

Participants were classified into four groups, based on triglyceride level and waist circumference: 1) normal waist circumference and normal triglyceride level (NWNT), 2) normal waist circumference and high triglyceride level (NWHT), 3) enlarged waist circumference and normal triglyceride level (EWNT), and 4) enlarged waist circumference and high triglyceride level (EWHT).

Research studies were excluded if: 1) they were letters to the editor, conference proceedings abstracts, protocols, or review studies, 2) articles that did not provide inferential statistics and measures of association, and 3) articles that did not have abstracts and full text in Spanish or English.

**Study Selection**

Rayyan software (https://rayyan.qcri.org) was used for the storage of articles found in each of the examined information repositories. The two researchers proceeded, autonomously, to examine the titles and abstracts of the manuscripts. If there was consensus among them for the inclusion of a manuscript, it was included; otherwise, it was excluded. In case of any discrepancy, a meeting was held among the co-authors to reach an agreement on the manuscript in question.

At a later stage, the full text of all selected articles was reviewed. In an Excel file, it was noted whether each study should be included or not. This operation was developed in the same way as the process described above.

**Data Extraction and Qualitative Analysis**

The scientific manuscripts that were selected moved on to the data extraction phase, for which a Microsoft Excel 2022 form was used. The following information was collected from each chosen article: first author, year, country, follow-up time, population (selection criterion), sample size, sex (% female), age, definition of triglyceride waist phenotype, prevalence of triglyceride waist phenotype, incidence of diabetes, diagnostic criterion for diabetes, measure of association used, adjustment variables.

**Risk of Bias Assessment**

Three reviewers independently analyzed the risk of bias in each of the chosen studies, discussing their findings until reaching an agreement. For this, the New Castle Ottawa (NCO) risk of bias tool for cohort studies [17] was used. Basically, the NCO assesses the level of risk of a manuscript based on three primary criteria: 1) study selection, which evaluates the representativeness of the sample, the choice of the non-exposed, and the confirmation of the exposure, 2) comparability, which examines whether the researchers have adequately controlled confounding factors in the study design or analysis, 3) outcomes, which reviews how the outcomes were evaluated, the duration of participant follow-up, and whether losses during follow-up were taken into account. Each criterion is evaluated according to a series of subcriteria and stars are awarded to studies that meet these subcriteria. Studies that obtained $\geq 7$ stars were considered to have a low risk of bias.

**Quantitative Analysis**

Analyses were performed with RevMan 5.3. The variables of interest were worked on in a dichotomized way. The outcome was the incidence of diabetes. For the meta-analysis, only studies whose measure of association were presented as HRs were used. Due to the nature of the included studies, results were reported through the DerSimonian and Laird random effects models.

The I2 statistic estimate was used to assess heterogeneity among the included cohort studies [18].
Significant heterogeneity was considered if $I^2 > 50\%$ [19]. Publication bias was not evaluated, as the manuscripts found did not exceed the required minimum (10).

RESULTS

Eligible Studies

507 manuscripts were found in the database search. After removing the duplicates, which were 256, we reviewed 251 records, of which we reviewed full-text documents of 44 of them, to finally include 7 articles [11-14, 20-22]. No additional articles were found. See Figure 1.

Study Characteristics

All evaluated studies were from the Asian continent. Five were conducted in China [11,12,20-22]; one in Iran [12], and another in Korea [14]. The total number of participants was 38,392 and ranged from 687 to 15,717. The follow-up time ranged from three to 15 years.

Three studies had the percentage of female sex around 50% [12,20,21], while the work of Han et al. [14] had the lowest percentage (28.30%) and that of Jangorbani et al., the highest value of all (73.90%).

All manuscripts evaluated each of these phenotypes, except for the study by He et al. [22], which only evaluated the EWHT form (through the classic terminology of hypertriglyceridemic waist or HTGW) and the study by Chen G [21], which combined NWHT/EWNT as a single variable.

In relation to this, only in the work of Janghorbani and Amini et al. [13] they used the cut-off points of The Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (ATPIII) [23]. It was identified that the criteria for measuring WC varied between genders. For men, a cut-off point of $\geq 90$ cm was used. However, in the case of women, this cut-off

Figure 1: Flowchart.
point varied: it ranged from $\geq 80$ cm to $\geq 85$ cm. Regarding hypertriglyceridemia, uniformity was observed in the studies; all used a level of $\geq 1.7$ mm/L as a diagnostic criterion.

**Risk of Bias Assessment**

All studies presented a low level of bias (score from 7 to 9). Those by Zhang M et al. [11], He S et al. [22], Han KJ et al. [14] and Janghobani and Amini [13] presented a lower score level in the selection domain, as they used non-representative samples, both for the exposed and non-exposed cohort.

**Meta-Analysis of the Association between Obesity and Prediabetes**

For the meta-analysis, only studies that used the hazard ratio as a measure of association and that evaluated the four phenotypes were included. Thus, four studies were finally included [11,12,14,20]. Those by Xu M et al. [12] and Zhang M et al. [11] evaluated both men and women.

Figure 2 shows that, compared to people with NWHT, a statistically significant association was found for those with NWHT (HR: 2.65; CI 95% 1.77 – 3.95), EWNT (HR: 2.54; CI 95% 2.05 – 3.16) and EWHT (HR: 4.41 (CI 95% 2.82 – 6.89). Figure 3 shows how the measure of association varies according to the phenotype.

Regarding the heterogeneity of the studies, measured through I², it was 70%, 0%, and 75% in the works evaluating NWNT versus NWHT, versus EWNT, and versus EWHT, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this systematic review show a geographical trend in the development of the evaluated works, which come from the Asian continent. The

**Figure 2:** Meta-analysis for the association of NWHT (a), EWNT (b), EWHT (c) phenotype, compared with individuals with NWNT.
predominance of works carried out in China, along with studies carried out in Iran and Korea, may reflect cultural, genetic, or lifestyle differences specific to these populations that may influence the results obtained. Indeed, Asians develop diabetes with a lower BMI compared to Caucasians, so the association between abdominal obesity and diabetes may be different [24].

Likewise, it is crucial to consider the variability in the follow-up time of the works, which ranges between 3 and 15 years. The shorter follow-up may not be long enough to optimally evaluate the incidence of diabetes, which usually evolves slowly. Analyses that are too short could underestimate the association between exposure and outcome. On the other hand, the longer follow-up increases the likelihood that patients will experience changes in adiposity measures, medication use, and other covariates that can confuse the relationship. Therefore, research with an intermediate follow-up of 5 to 10 years could provide the most solid evidence.

This disparity in the use of cut-off criteria can be a source of variability in the results of the works. The only one that used the ATP III criteria to define abdominal obesity, based on waist circumference, was that of Janghorbani and Amini Amini [13]. This is the most commonly used set of criteria, so in other works, some participants may have been misclassified. Also, the waist circumference cut-off points varied for females, and ranged from ≥80 cm to ≥85 cm. This variability could be the reason for the differences in the prevalence of abdominal obesity in women between the works and influence the strength of association observed with diabetes. It has been proven that lower cut-off points (≥80 cm) tend to overestimate abdominal obesity in females, compared to the values of ≥88 cm recommended by the ATP III [25]. Conversely, it was encouraging that all works used the same cut-off point to define hypertriglyceridemia (≥1.7 mmol/L). This consistency makes it less likely that differences in the definition of hypertriglyceridemia contribute to heterogeneity between research.

The results of this review highlight a statistically significant association between different phenotypes and the risk of metabolic diseases, compared to the NWHT phenotype. Both participants with the NWHT phenotype and those with the EWNT phenotype had an increase in risk. However, it was the EWHT phenotype that showed a stronger association. These results suggest that abdominal obesity [26,27] and hypertriglyceridemia [28,29] are independently associated with type 2 diabetes, but their coexistence amplifies the risk. The synergy between these two conditions, which together constitute the hypertriglyceridemic waist phenotype, could exacerbate pathophysiological mechanisms, such as insulin resistance (IR), inflammation, and pancreatic beta-cell dysfunction, which cause diabetes.

**Interpretation of Results**

Central obesity, in particular, is an indicator of visceral adiposity, which is associated with an increase in the metabolic dysfunction, insulin resistance, and a higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes.
in the release of free fatty acids, pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 6 and tumor necrosis factor alpha, and alterations in adiponectin levels, which can interfere with insulin signaling, leading to IR, which in peripheral tissues leads to an increase in hepatic glucose production and a decrease in muscle glucose uptake, which ultimately leads to hyperglycemia, a key feature of T2DM [32].

On the other hand, elevated triglyceride levels are also associated with IR and in turn have direct toxic effects on pancreatic beta cells, causing dysfunction and even cell death, which can also contribute to the development of T2DM [33]. Excess triglycerides could induce lipotoxicity, a phenomenon that affects pancreatic beta cells and interferes with their ability to optimally secrete insulin. Together, central obesity and elevated triglyceride levels, which define the hypertriglyceridemic waist phenotype, could interact to increase the risk of T2DM through the promotion of insulin resistance and pancreatic beta-cell dysfunction. Elevated triglycerides are also associated with an increased production of small, dense very low-density lipoprotein particles that are specifically atherogenic, which increases the risk of cardiovascular disease, a frequent complication of type 2 diabetes [34,35].

Public Health Importance

First, identifying these phenotypes as a significant risk factor for diabetes could contribute to improving early detection and prevention of the disease. Public health strategies could focus on promoting the detection and early management of elevated triglyceride levels and central obesity, which would reduce the risk of diabetes in susceptible patients.

Second, these results underscore the importance of an integrated management strategy for metabolic diseases. Often, these disorders do not occur in isolation and the interaction between different risk factors could enhance the impact on health. Health systems may need to address these risk factors in a multidisciplinary way to effectively manage diabetes and associated ailments.

Study Limitations and Strengths

In general, while the lack of geographical diversity limits the generalization of these findings, the large number of people included and the variation in follow-up duration produce certain strengths. While all the studies included in this systematic review were conducted in Asia, which definitely strengthens their direct relevance to Asian populations, the findings may also be useful to citizens of other continents. Hypertriglyceridemic waist and diabetes are health problems that occur in regions and populations around the world. The accumulated evidence from well-designed studies, even if they have been conducted on a single continent, could provide valuable insight that would apply to a broader context.

Also, the hypertriglyceridemic waist phenotype is based on measures and risk factors that are universally known in the field of metabolic medicine [23], and that, regardless of ethnic or geographic origin, have an impact on the risk of diabetes. Thus, although the extrapolation of findings to other people must be done with caution, due to possible differences in genetic, environmental, and lifestyle factors, this systematic review significantly contributes to the global literature in this field and to inform future strategies for the prevention and management of diabetes in different populations globally.

CONCLUSIONS

This SR and meta-analysis have a clear association between triglyceride waist phenotypes and diabetes. Although central obesity and elevated triglyceride levels are associated with a higher risk of diabetes, their combination confines an even greater risk. This underscores the importance of considering these interactions when assessing the risk of diabetes in the clinical setting.

Given the growing burden of diabetes worldwide, more research is encouraged, specifically in prospective works, in different populations to confirm these results. A more complete understanding of these associations can have important implications for the prevention and treatment of diabetes, and could ultimately help reduce its prevalence and associated complications.
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