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Abstract: Objectives: To analyze temporal trends of mortality, morbidity, growth and neurodevelopment until 2 years of corrected age (CA) of very low birth weight infants (VLBWI) born between 1986-2005 in Ramon Sardá Maternal Infant Hospital (RSMIH).

Methods: Descriptive temporal trend study divided in 5 quinquenniums. 1255 VLBWI were born at RSMIH between 1986-2005; 46 were excluded (genetic syndromes, major congenital malformations, confirmed intrauterine infections). 84 were referred out and 1125 were studied. Birth weight (BW), gestational age (GA); morbidity; growth; neurodevelopment at 1 and 2 years of CA; neurological and sensorial disorders, antenatal steroids use, breastfeeding; rehospitalizations; mothers’ age and years of schooling and Unsatisfied Basic Needs Index (UBNI) were recorded.

Results: Survival rates increased during the last two periods, especially in <1000g BW infants despite the decrease in GA and BW. Children receiving surfactant (Sf), parenteral nutrition (PN) and antenatal steroids (AS) in the last quinquennium obtained better results in growth (40 weeks GA and 1 CA). The use of these therapies increased greatly in the last decade. Also breastfeeding at 40 weeks GA and 4 months tended to be better. Bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) increased. Rehospitalizations (majorly attributable to lower tract infections) and UBNI stayed equal all along. Mothers’ years of schooling increased a little in the last two quinquenniums.

Conclusion: In the last quinquennium children tended to be smaller in GA and BW due to an increase in the survival rate as a result of higher technology and appropriate interventions such as AS, PN, Sf, etc.

Keywords: Preterm, growth, morbidity, mortality, neurodevelopment.

INTRODUCTION

Preterm birth (PB) is a major burden and there are approximately 15 million of them worldwide. Very low birth weight infants (VLWI <1500g) represent approximately 1% of still births, and extremely low birth weight infants (ELBWI< 1,000g) between 0.3% and 0.5% [1-3].

PB is associated with many specific acute complications of immaturity including bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD), intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH), necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC), periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) and retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) [1, 2].

There is good evidence that advances in perinatal care including sophisticated ventilator techniques, antenatal steroids (AS), pulmonary surfactant (SF), parenteral nutrition (PN), skin-to-skin mother-infant contact (kangaroo mother care), early breastfeeding, mothers’ residency, and professional development, have resulted in improved survival rates of VLBWI and ELBWI over the last three decades [2, 4-11].

Although improvements in the care of newborn babies (neonatal care) mean that preterm babies are more likely to survive than in the past with smaller gestational age (GA), PB remains the single biggest cause of infant death and the second most common cause of death in children under-five after pneumonia [1, 12]. PB also increases the risk of death due to neonatal infections. Moreover, hypothermia and malnutrition increase vulnerability. PB is a direct cause of 36% of all infant deaths, but two-thirds of all infant deaths are among babies who were born preterm [1, 2, 12]. In Argentina 65% of neonatal and 34% of under-one infants’ deaths are associated to VLBW, determined by the type and quality of the institution [6, 13]. As regards of rehospitalizations in VLBWI, the percentage reaches around 20-40% and doubles that of term infants under 1 year old [14].

In addition to its contribution to mortality, PB may lead to long-term health problems and disabilities by reason of a higher vulnerability in a wide spectrum of developmental domains. There are lifelong effects on neurodevelopment, increased risks of cerebral palsy (CP), impaired learning, mental and behavioral and sensorial disorders, and cognitive and language delays, hyperkinetic disorders, emotional problems, and learning disabilities, which contribute to the
prematurity-related burden of chronic disease in adulthood particularly for those born before 32 weeks of gestation [1, 2, 12, 15].

This is due to biological factors associated to perinatal clinical antecedents (GA, birth weight - BW, multiple birth, fetal malnutrition, chronic diseases, brain lesions, hospitalizations) as well as environmental factors independently of the socioeconomic status, since these babies have stayed for long periods in the NICU’s. Despite advanced technology and better policies, these units cause the babies to be stressed out by the noises and lights, the interventions, the parents stress, the lack of bonding, etc. Therefore, this perinatal/environmental or mixed risk influences both short- and long-term outcomes and quality of life [1, 2, 16].

In order to understand and intervene on these outcomes follow-up programs are vital. Our program for preterm children has been evolving since 1986 as well as the database that has been modified according to changes and needs, and its data registered since the beginning [17, 18]. The aim of this study is to determine the temporal trends in: survival, mortality (according to BW), morbidity (ROP, BPD, ICH, PVL, NEC); and neurodevelopment (at 40 weeks of GA, 12 and 24 months of corrected age – CA) of VLWI born at Ramon Sardá Maternal Infant hospital (RSMIH) from 1986 to 2005. The percentage of lost-to follow up patients, deaths, rehospitalizations, neurological and sensorial disorders in the first 2 years of CA and breastfeeding in the 1st year of CA, as well as the socioeconomic status (SES) are described.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects
VLBIW (BW<1,500 g) born at RSMIH from 1986 to 2005. Infants with major genetic disorders and malformations, intrauterine infections (toxoplasmosis, rubella, HIV, CMV) and those referred out were excluded.

Instruments
Medical records were used for:
- Perinatal variables: AS, surfactant use (SI), sex, BW, GA and neonatal morbidity (ROP, BPD, ICH, PVL, NEC); and
- Follow-up variables:
  1. Growth: Argentinean' growth charts were used. Height (H), weight (W) and head circumference (HC) at 40 weeks of GA, 12 and 24 months of CA were recorded. CA was used for HC in the 1st year and for W and H up to 2 years [19].
  2. Neurodevelopment assessment: the test used was EEDP (Escala de Evaluación del Desarrollo Psicomotor). Neurodevelopment coefficient (NDC) at 12 and 24 months of CA were recorded. This test divides children in three categories: normal, at risk and delayed. The first one includes NDC values around average or within 1 standard deviation (SD). The second one includes NDC values around 1 and 2 SD. The infants below 2 SD belong to the delayed category [20].
  3. Neurological disorders: Bobath classification of cerebral palsy (CP) was used [21]. Only those with quadriplegia were accounted for.
  4. Sensorial disorders:
     - Eye test: ROP, strabismus and myopia were diagnosed through repeated dilated eye exams.
     - Ear test: during the early years of the follow-up program the usual exam was response to sound stimulus through transducers and the observation of behavior. Afterwards oto-acoustic emissions (OAE) and brain stem evoked potentials (BSEP) were introduced through a policy.
  5. Breastfeeding, number of rehospitalizations and its cause (lower tract infection-LTI, surgical or other), lost to follow-up patients, and number of deaths were recorded.
  6. Socioeconomic status (SES): The Unsatisfied Basic Needs Index (UBNI), mothers’ age and years of schooling were considered for SES. The UBNI comprises type of household, number of schooled children, persons per square meter, presence of overcrowding, unavailability of a toilet, and parents years of schooling (<2 years) [22].

Statistical Analysis
Univariate and bivariate analysis were performed using Statistic 7.0 software program. The results were expressed as the means ± SD. The 20-year period was divided in quinquenniums for the analysis and to
examine temporal changes: 1Q (1986-1990), 2Q (1991-1995), 3Q (1996-2000), 4Q(2001-2005). Kruskal-Wallis test was used for numerical data and Chi² test for categorical data. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

In the two decades studied (January 1986-December 2005) 1,255 children were born at RSMIH, 46 were excluded (35 major malformations and 11 genetic syndromes), 84 were referred out, therefore 1,125 children were studied (1Q n=275, 2Q n=237, 3Q n=284, 4Q n=329).

Survival

The average number of total deliveries was 32,383.75 ± 2,409.73. The average number of VLBWI was 526 ± 30.80. The prevalence of VLBWI remained practically the same along the decades: 1.58% ± 0.17. The survival rate changed from 53.27% in 1Q to 65.23% in 4Q. However, the change for infants <1000g of BW went from 6.91% to 15.68% (Table 1).

There was an important rise of survival along the years especially after the 2Q for the ELBWI, a twofold rise for infants with a BW of 975-999g and a threefold for those with BW<750g (Figure 1). The survival between 1Q and 4Q also increased 14% for those with BW of 1000-1249g and 16% for those in the 1250-1499 g group.

GA, BW, Sf, AS and PN were very different between the 2 decades (Table 2). AS use increased almost 50%. The Sf use and PN augmented majorly in 4Q.

Morbidity

There was a rise in BPD in 4Q. NEC was lowest in 1Q. ROP percentage remained constant. Despite this, there is a paramount difference between the percentage of intervened infants between 1Q and 4Q. Regarding IVH and PVL, there is an important increase in 2Q (Table 3).

SES

There were not any significant differences along the years regarding UBNI percentage, mothers’ years of schooling and age. The percentages of UBNI were: 1Q=34% (59), 2Q=35% (n=57), 3Q=36% (n=72) and 4Q= 32% (n=96). The mothers’ years of schooling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survival</th>
<th>500- 749 g</th>
<th>750- 999 g</th>
<th>1000- 1249 g</th>
<th>1250- 1499 g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1986-1990 n (%)</td>
<td>3 (4.1)</td>
<td>35 (31.5)</td>
<td>108 (63.1)</td>
<td>147 (75.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991-1995 n (%)</td>
<td>5 (6.7)</td>
<td>34 (33.4)</td>
<td>80 (59.2)</td>
<td>155 (81.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996-2000 n (%)</td>
<td>15 (18.3)</td>
<td>61 (56)</td>
<td>110 (79.1)</td>
<td>140 (84.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2005 n (%)</td>
<td>17 (15.9)</td>
<td>70 (55.2)</td>
<td>107 (77.5)</td>
<td>168 (91.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Survival of VLBWI According to Birth Weight, 1986-2005

Figure 1: Survival at discharge of VLBWI according to BW between 1986-2005.
Table 2: Perinatal Characteristics of Very Low Birth Weight Infants 1986-2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N° of infants</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA* (weeks)</td>
<td>31.0 ± 2.64</td>
<td>30.6 ± 2.68</td>
<td>30.0 ± 6.0</td>
<td>29.6 ± 2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW* (g) Range</td>
<td>1225 ± 185 (600-1490)</td>
<td>1238 ± 178 (790-1490)</td>
<td>1164±225 (600-1490)</td>
<td>1100±231 (540-1490)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Z-score</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW &lt;1,000 g (%)</td>
<td>35 (13)</td>
<td>26 (11)</td>
<td>68 (24)</td>
<td>75 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex M (%)</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS* (n, %)</td>
<td>11/42 (26)</td>
<td>34/132 (26)</td>
<td>204/284 (71)</td>
<td>235/329 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF* (n, %)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>10/237 (4)</td>
<td>77/284 (27)</td>
<td>158/329 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN* (n, %)</td>
<td>3/275 (1)</td>
<td>15/237 (6)</td>
<td>84/284 (29)</td>
<td>323/329 (98)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GA= gestational age, BW= birth weight, AS= antenatal steroids, SF= pulmonary surfactant, PN= parenteral nutrition.

Table 3: Morbidity of Very Low Birth Weight Infants, 1986-2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N° of infants</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPD* (n, %)</td>
<td>17 (6)</td>
<td>27 (11)</td>
<td>45 (16)</td>
<td>102 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROP* (n, %)</td>
<td>18 (7)</td>
<td>23 (10)</td>
<td>23 (8)</td>
<td>32 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N° treated</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVH* (n, %)</td>
<td>14/193 (7)</td>
<td>21/176 (12)</td>
<td>17/272 (6)</td>
<td>18/329 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVL* (n, %)</td>
<td>7/193 (4)</td>
<td>16/176 (9)</td>
<td>17/272 (6)</td>
<td>13/329 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEC* (n, %)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9/171 (5)</td>
<td>17/284 (6)</td>
<td>7/329 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BPD: Bronchopulmonary dysplasia, ROP: Retinopathy of prematurity (grade 2+, 3, 3+ and 4 treated with laser or cryotherapy), IVH: Intraventricular hemorrhage (grade ≥III), PVL: Periventricular leukomalacia, necrotizing enterocolitis.

were: 1Q=8.5 ± 3.23, 2Q=8.1 ± 3.14, 3Q=9.1 ± 2.63 and 4Q=9.7 ± 2.89, and the average mothers’ ages were: 1Q=27.5 ± 7, 2Q=27.3 ± 6.87, 3Q=26.8 ± 7.07, 4Q=26.8 ± 7.20. The range was between 14 and 46 years old.

Lost to Follow-Up

During the 1st year of life (YOL) there were 8.7% of patients lost in 1Q, however it remained around 20% in the following periods (2Q=21.8%, 3Q=22.5%, 4Q=17.6%). As regards the 2nd YOL there were around a 15% lost in 1Q and 4Q, and around 25% in 2Q and 3Q (1Q=14.7%, 2Q=29%, 3Q=22.5%, 4Q=15.2%).

DEATHS

The number of infants that died in the 1st YOL were as follows: 1Q n=13, 2Q n=5, 3Q n=9, 4Q n=8. There were no deaths during the 2nd YOL in 1Q and 2Q, and 2 deaths in 3Q and 4Q.

Rehospitalizations

The average percentage of rehospitalizations during the 1st YOL were 33% ± 7.53 (1Q n=60, 24%, 2Q n=55, 31%, 3Q n=93, 42%, 4Q n=94, 35%). However, they were much lower for the 2nd YOL: 5.5% ± 1.25 (1Q n=7, 4.3%, 2Q n=6, 4.9%, 3Q n=9, 5.6%, 4Q n=15, 7.2%). The most common cause were lower tract infections (LTI: 64.55%, surgical: 10.9%, others: 5.2%) especially in the last three periods. The quinquennium with lowest surgical rehospitalizations was 4Q (Table 4).

Breastfeeding

Breastfeeding increased along the quinquenniums when compared at 40 weeks of GA (62% to 80%) and at 4 months (27% to 48%) (Figure 2).

Growth

The growth improved along the decades. The Z-score (standardized score) showed a twofold reduction.
Table 4: Causes of Rehospitalizations during the 1st Year of Life, 1986-2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LTIa (%)</td>
<td>31 (51.67)</td>
<td>38 (69.09)</td>
<td>65 (69.89)</td>
<td>65 (69.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical (%)</td>
<td>10 (16.67)</td>
<td>6 (10.91)</td>
<td>10 (10.75)</td>
<td>4 (4.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otherb (%)</td>
<td>19 (31.67)</td>
<td>11 (20)</td>
<td>18 (19.35)</td>
<td>25 (26.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LTI: Lower tract infections.
Gastroenteritis, meningitis, seizures, trauma.

Figure 2: Breastfeeding percentage during the first year of life, 1986-2005.

Table 5: Growth during the 1st and 2nd Year of Life (YOL), 1986-2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nº 1st YOL</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W* 40 weeks</td>
<td>2485±508</td>
<td>2629±475</td>
<td>2607±510</td>
<td>2899±520</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-Score</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
<td>-1.51</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H* 40 weeks</td>
<td>45.2±2.44</td>
<td>45.2±2.3</td>
<td>45.0±3.0</td>
<td>46.6±3.1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-Score</td>
<td>-2.76</td>
<td>-2.78</td>
<td>-2.89</td>
<td>-2.04</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC* 40 weeks</td>
<td>33.3±1.62</td>
<td>33.9±1.47</td>
<td>33.9±1.77</td>
<td>35.0±1.78</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 12 months</td>
<td>8650±1227</td>
<td>8677±1142</td>
<td>8908±1091</td>
<td>9016±1271</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-Score</td>
<td>-1.04</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 12 months</td>
<td>70.9±2.97</td>
<td>71.2±3.12</td>
<td>72.6±2.89</td>
<td>72.6±3.20</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-Score</td>
<td>-1.37</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC 12 months</td>
<td>45.2±1.70</td>
<td>45.7±1.64</td>
<td>46.1±1.65</td>
<td>47.0±1.83</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nº 2nd YOL</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 24 months</td>
<td>11193±1300</td>
<td>10764±1411</td>
<td>11303±1445</td>
<td>11344±1592</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-Score</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 24 months</td>
<td>83.1±3.50</td>
<td>82.2±3.88</td>
<td>84.6±3.48</td>
<td>84.7±3.76</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-Score</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC 24 months</td>
<td>48.1±1.64</td>
<td>47.9±1.70</td>
<td>48.3±1.55</td>
<td>49.1±1.84</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W: Weight, H: Height, HC:= Head circumference.
Preterm Infants' Follow-Up Program at a Public Hospital

Figure 3: percentage of very low birth weight infants under 2 SDs for head circumference from 40 weeks of GA up to 2 years of CA, 1986-2005.

For weight at 40 weeks GA and at 12m, and for height at 24m. All the differences were significant (p<0.05) (Table 5).

Between 1Q and 4Q there was a huge decrease of the number of infants below 2 SD for the HC. At 40 weeks of GA the percentage changed from 26.7% to 5.6% (1Q=26.7%, 2Q=13.7%, 3Q=16.6% and 4Q=5.6%), and at the 1st YOL from 15% to 3.7% (1Q=15%, 2Q=7.7%, 3Q=7.2% and 4Q=3.7%) (p<0.01). The difference was minor at the 2nd YOL (1Q=6.2%, 2Q=8.5%, 3Q=3.4%, 4Q=4.8%) but still significant (p=0.01) (Figure 3).

Neurodevelopment

The NDC mean did not greatly varied along the periods neither for the 1st (p=0.07) nor for the 2nd YOL (p=0.47).

Furthermore, the percentage of children in the three categories (good, at risk or delayed) were not significantly different between periods for neither the 1st (Chi², p=0.91) nor the 2nd YOL (p=0.38). 75-80% of infants obtained a normal score both during the 1st and 2nd YOL (Figures 4 and 5).

Neurological Sequelae

The percentage of major neurological sequelae (range 7 to 11.4%) did not differed along the years (1Q: n=15, 9.3%, 2Q: n=14, 11.4%, 3Q: n=12, 7%, 4Q: n=18, 8.6%).

Neurosensory Disorders

In the 1st, 2nd and 3rd quinquenniums blindness was more prevalent than deafness. The opposite occurred in the last quinquennium. There were 4 blind and 1 deaf infants for 1Q, 2 blind and 2 deaf infants for 2Q, 4
blind and 1 deaf infants (3 of the 4 blind children had ROP III and 1 had IVH IV) for 3Q, and 1 blind and 5 deaf infants for 4Q.

**DISCUSSION**

In this two-decade research (1986-2005) the percentage of PB remained invariable down the years, despite the fact that the survival rate increased a huge quantity for ELBWI, especially after the 90’s, concomitantly with changes in obstetrics and neonatology. The unvarying in the PB percentage could be related to a health system that emphasizes intervention over prevention [3, 23]. Conversely, Norman, Morris and Chalmers (2009) noted an augmentation for both spontaneous and induced PB in their temporal trends’ study (1980-2004) [12].

The Neonatal Research Network of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) studied the survival of VLBWI and observed that there was a 54% of survival at 25 weeks of GA during the pre-surfactant era (1987-1988) reaching up to 70% in the post surfactant era (1993-1994) and 72% in the wide-spread surfactant era (1999-2000) [24]. Similarly, in our study the survival rate was 31.5% during the 1st quinquennium (pre-surfactant era), increasing to 56% in the post surfactant era when AS were widely used as well.

Arce Casas, Iriondo-Sanz & Krauel-Vidal (2003) observed an important increase in the survival rate between 1988 and 1999 for <1000g infants, specifically 13% for <750g and 46% for 750-999g infants [25]. In the same way, our survival augmented 11% and 22% between 2Q and 3Q. We found even an increase in the <1000g, unlike that study.

Evidence has revealed that the use of AS diminished the incidence of IVH and respiratory distress syndrome. Additionally, pulmonary surfactant greater decreases lungs complications, and a better quality of nutrition further augments survival up to 93% for VLBWI and up to 85% for ELBWI [26, 27]. Correspondingly, our study data revealed that along with a significant reduction in BW and GA, and a twofold increase in survival rates for infants <1000g, there was a steady grow in AS, Sf and PN use especially in the last decade.

An important population based study in preterm infants observed that AS improved survival without increasing morbidity [2]. Also, it has been suggested that 80% of <35 weeks of GA newborns should be given steroids [28]. A Dutch research found that the use of steroids in <32 weeks of GA infants changed from 7% in 1983 to 73% in 1997 [29]. Comparably, the NICHD study observed that between 1987/1988 and 1999/2000 the AS use increased from 16% to 79% [24]. Regionally-wise, the Latin-American neonatal network (NEOCOSUR) informed that the percentage of infants treated with steroids reached 70% during 2001-2005 [30]. Our results showed that the percentage of mothers getting AS to induce infants’ lung maturation improved along the years, especially during the last decade, reaching up to 71% linked to the appearance of a policy in 1995.

Similarly, the use of pulmonary surfactant increased each year. Between 2001 and 2005, 48% of children were administered surfactant. NEOCOSUR registered that surfactant was given to 54%-64% of VLBWI [30] and the NICHD described that 57% of them received that treatment in the same period [24].
As regards to PN, the percentage of use rose in the last decade, progressing to 98% between 2001 and 2005. In studies previously done in our hospital comparing conventional vs. aggressive nutrition, it was shown that at 40 weeks of GA the aggressive nutrition group had a weight z-score of -1.19 vs. -1.87 in the control group (conventional nutrition group) [31]. In our study, weight z-score at 40 weeks GA increased from -1.78 in 1Q to -0.94 in 4Q.

BPD places these infants at higher risk of chronic obstructive disease [1]. Our results revealed a progressive increase in the percentage of children with BPD and ROP in the last decade. This could be related to an increase in the survival rate of infants with smaller GA. One third of our children suffered BPD, similar to NEOCOSUR data [31]. In addition, the Dutch study showed an increment of BPD from 6% to 19% (1983 vs. 1997) [29]. Schlabach, Adams, Proietti et al. (2012) explained that BPD was the strongest predictor of adverse outcome, being sepsis the main causative factor, together with low GA, intrauterine growth restriction and absence of AS [2].

IVH and its severity are associated to GA and BW. It occurs in 60% of <1000g BW children and 20% of children between 1,000 and 1,500g [32]. The NICHD detected a significant decreased in the incidence of severe IVH (III and IV) from 18% in 1987 to 11% in 1994. There were also less PVL cases, diminishing from 8 % to 3 [24]. Similarly, a study done by the Central New York Regional Perinatal Center showed a diminishing in IVH from 10% (1985-1086) to 5% (2005-2006). Besides, PVL declined from 4% to 3%. [33]. Our study results demonstrated no major changes along the years. The fact that no cerebral ecographies were performed during the first decade must be taken in consideration. Ecography is considered by many authors as a strong predictor for neurological sequelae [25]. In spite of the fact that the incidence of IVH may decrease, the risk in this population rises with the survival of smaller GA children.

UBI index has been accepted as a useful tool to identify critical needs and classify poverty [22]. The Argentinean Social Debt Survey states that poverty in our country has been structural for many years [34]. In our hospital around 30% of children belonged to homes with unsatisfied basic needs. In this study there was an increase of families in this situation because of the economical crisis that the country underwent in 2001. Regarding mothers’ years of schooling there was a small upsurge in the last years. However since primary education widened from 7 to 9 years in 1996 (high school narrowed down from 5 to 3 years) the mothers’ academic level stayed alike. The environmental risk may have influenced growth, development, morbidity and lost to follow-ups. In relation to this last matter, during the 1st year of CA our study revealed the best result for 1Q (8.7%) probably connected to the home visits that used to be done during that period. However, these had to cease because of insecurity issues in our patients’ neighborhoods. Lost to follow-ups lingered around 20% along the years, comparatively to other study which reported 16% [25]. Furthermore, during the 2nd year of CA, there was a rise in the abandonment of the follow-up program during 2Q and 3Q. As a hospital strategy and in order to combat this concern assistance (clothes, food, travel allowances, etc.) has been provided through an organization called “Voluntarias al servicio de hospitales – Damas Rosadas” (Pink ladies) and the hospital cooperative society.

In this research mortality averaged 2.9%, which appears higher than Kugelman, Reichman, Chistyakov et al. (2007) who noted a 0.75% mortality rate between 1995-2003 [35]. The most prevalent causes were sudden infant death syndrome and respiratory complications.

In our study, despite sending letters to two addresses registered in the clinical charts (the family’s plus a relative address) and calling to multiple phone numbers many infants were lost to follow up. This may have influenced the true mortality rate value, since no data was available for these cases. Many of our hospital’s families, as stated above, live in poverty which is associated to frequent home moving and phone number changes.

In our research the percentage of rehospitalizations oscillated around 20%-40 % for the 1st YOL downing to 4%-7% for the 2nd YOL. The major cause was LTI (52% to 69%) increasing in the last decade, whilst survival rate rose for lower GA and BW. These results are consistent with other reports. Raiser, Mueller, Haberland et al. (2012) described 40.1% and 24.7% of rehospitalizations for the 1st and 2nd year respectively. Approximately 40% of them were associated with LTI [14]. In addition, Hayakawa, Schmidt, Rossetto et al. (2010) noted that 30.2 % of VLBWI infants were rehospitalized, 56.3 % owing to respiratory complications [35]. Alike results obtained Arce Casas et al. (2003) [25].

Human milk is considered the best nutritional source for newborns up to 6 months old by reason of
its benefits including: emotional, intellectual (brain development), physical (infection protection), and cost benefits [36]. A meta-analysis concluded that breastfeeding was associated with better cognitive scores compared to infants fed through formula, especially for VLBWI [37]. We noted that breastfeeding percentages at 40 weeks of GA and at 4 months of CA were important, improving in the last quinquenniums. On the contrary, the perseverance in breastfeeding continued unchanged despite its promotion. In our hospital, the complements to human milk were used until 1996 when a fortified formula designed for preterm infants was incorporated. Since 2003 this formula has been persistently utilized.

Concerning extrauterine growth restriction, Hack et al. (1999) described that within VLBWI without major complications 46% stayed under the 3rd percentile at 40 weeks of GA, 28% at 8 months and even a proportion remained under the 3rd percentile at 3 years of CA. On the contrary, if infants had complications, 91% of them were delayed at 8 months lingering until 3 years of CA [38]. Also, a Spanish research revealed that for VLBWI born between 1994 and 1999 HC at 2 years of CA was comparable to term babies. Conversely, height and weight were much lower, especially for ELBW. They concluded that growth delay appeared to be inevitable for VLBWI [39]. Clark, Thomas and Peabody (2003) observed that the incidence of extrauterine growth restriction was common (28%, 34%, and 16% for W, H, and HC, respectively), increasing with smaller GA and BW. They affirmed that despite advances in this arena due to the incorporation of PN, VLBWI display a weight ≤ the 10th percentile nonetheless [40]. Our research showed that infants tended to be better at 40 weeks in the three variables of growth only for the last period, concomitantly with a major PN use. At the 1st YOL, results appeared better for the last two quinquenniums. And again, at the 2nd YOL, growth slightly improved only in the last quinquennium. It is important to emphasize that diets must be closely monitored since home nutritional habits may be inadequate, particularly for low income households such as the ones in our study.

HC growth has been associated with neurodevelopment, cognition and school performance [41]. Our data demonstrated that only a minor proportion of infants were at 2SD below the mean at 40 weeks of GA and at the 1st YOL during the last quinquennium. Besides, HC improved at the 2nd YOL for the last two periods.

Malnutrition, low BW, social and emotional factors influence development, increase vulnerability and lessen the quality of life [16]. It has been estimated that over half of infants born at <28 weeks of GA will demonstrate some long-term impairment and 5% of those born at 32–36 weeks [1]. In a study done in Bahia Blanca (Argentina) VLBWI born between 1987 and 2007 were divided in 3 groups: <1000 g, 1001-1250, 1251-1500 g. They found that infants above 1000g BW achieved normal values in the Bayley II Mental and Motor Scales [42]. In this research, 75%-80% of infants displayed a NDC within normal limits and approximately 10% were delayed with no major changes along the years notwithstanding that GA and BW in the last quinquennium were lower. The number of infants with CP may have not change despite new interventions (AS, SF, PN, etc) due to an increase in survival of the most preterm born infants [1]. In contrast, Bode, D’Eugenio, Forsyth et al. (2009) registered a difference between two cohorts, 1985/86 and 2005/06, getting better results in the Bayley scores for the last one. Severe neurodevelopmental impairment decreased from 38% to 19% [33]. Arce Casas et al. (2003) noted sequelae in 20.2% of VLBWI at 2 years of age, 9% of them being of severe nature [43]. Similarly, another research described an 11% incidence of severe and 24% of moderate disability [2]. Also, a study of <28 weeks of GA infants born within 1993 and 1998 found that 10-18% suffered CP, and 15%-28% neurodevelopmental difficulties [5].

In our study, early ROP treatment increased in the last years. This intervention is necessary to reduce blindness. In Argentina is ROP the main cause of blindness is [44]. There were 3 blind children in 1Q compared to 1 child in 4Q. Also, the advance in the diagnosis of deafness contributed to the early detection (5 deaf children in 4Q). In the early years of the program there were no OAE or BSEP. Vohr, Wright Dusick et al. (2000) detected more vision than hearing impaired infants in their study of almost 1,500 ELBW [5]. We were not able to do a subgroup analysis for neurosensory sequelae (<1,000g vs. >1,000-1,500) due to the small number of impaired infants for each category in each period.

Research states that for further increasing survival rates without long-term neurodevelopmental or neurosensory severe impairments quality maternity and neonatal care need to improve [1].

More than 20 years of our preterm follow-up program had made an important amount of data
available for this study, therefore contributing to the possibility to evaluate temporal trends. This information indirectly explains the probable impact of interventions such as AS, Sf, PN, etc., and may assist in decision making for health care planning and in setting research priorities.

Unfortunately, this study did not include other institutions with different preterm populations. Furthermore, results demonstrated an important lost to follow-up patients. Another limit was that there were no subgroups neurodevelopmental or neurosensory analysis. ELBWIs are known to be different from bigger preterm infants. Moreover, it would be wise to study these infants at school age, since NDCs at two years of CA are not made and cannot be used for prognosis of learning difficulties.

Preterm’s follow-up programs are an excellent strategy in order to assess the long term evolution of this patients, as well as to implement early and adequate interventions benefiting not only the child’s and his family’s quality of life but also the society. Therefore, the information on long-term outcomes remains critical.
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