Exercise-Based VRT Shows Benefits in Balance and Postural Stability in Adult Patients with Unilateral Vestibular Hypofunction
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Abstract: Background and Objective: Vestibular rehabilitation therapy (VRT) is an exercise-based program designed to improve balance function and alleviate problems related to dizziness, including vestibular hypofunction. This study aimed to evaluate the therapeutic effects of VRT exercises on balance function in adults with unilateral vestibular hypofunction (UVH).

Methods: Thirty-one patients (mean age: 39.48 ±10.96 years old) with confirmed UVH participated in this study. The VRT program consisted of habitation and adaptation exercises in combination with gait and balance exercises. The handicapping influences of dizziness were measured with a dizziness handicap inventory (DHI) scale to measure the level of respondent's performance on physical, emotional, and functional dimensions. Visual analogue scale (VAS) was also used to rate the severity of dizziness symptoms. The DHI and VAS scales were assessed pre-intervention and post-intervention at one, two, and four weeks follow-ups after the last rehabilitation session.

Results: An analysis of variance showed a significant reduction and a consequent improvement in DHI scores after vestibular exercises in all domains: emotional, physical and functional (p < 0.001). The mean total DHI score significantly decreased by 15 points after one week, 24 points after two weeks and 15 points after four weeks of the last VRT session. The mean baseline VAS score was 7.71 ±0.91 that significantly decreased at one, two, and four weeks post-intervention to 4.81 (±0.73), 3.77 (±0.61), and 3.16 (±0.97), respectively (p<0.001).

Conclusion: The exercise-based VRT shows benefits for adult patients with chronic dizziness concerning improvement in the vertigo symptom scale, fall risk, balance and emotional status.
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INTRODUCTION

Vestibular hypofunction occurs when the balance system within the inner ear as the peripheral vestibular system does not properly function. The vestibular hypofunction could be unilateral or bilateral, engaging the one or both vestibular system located within the inner ears. Unilateral vestibular hypofunction (UVH) is a disturbance in the function of one side of the vestibular system in the inner ear that helps control balance and eye movements. The common symptoms in patients with UVH are vertigo, imbalance, lightheadedness, and oscillopsia. These patients may also display impaired spatial orientation, postural instability and gait disorders [1-5]. These impairments may lead to significant restrictions in the patient's daily activity and participation [6, 7].

Pharmacologic treatment and surgery are the two most commonly used approaches for the management of UVH. However, these approaches have limited positive effect in these patients [7-10]. Vestibular rehabilitation therapy (VRT) consists of systematic, repetitive protocols aimed to facilitate central nervous system plasticity in patients with balance function problems [11-14]. Recently, different VRT protocols have been widely used as an effective option for the treatment of clinical symptoms and physical performances of patients with vestibular dysfunction, including UVH [15-18].

It has been shown that VRT exercises can alleviate the symptoms of dizziness, anxiety and depression, and improve static and dynamic balance, self-confidence, and quality of life in patients with vestibular disturbances [19-23]. These exercises are predominantly based on graded activities including eye, head and body movements stimulating the vestibular system. VRT protocols usually consist of adaptation, substitution or habitation strategies. Habitation or compensatory exercises reduce dizziness symptoms through repetition of symptom-provoking head movements. Adaptation exercises including repeated head movements while focusing on a target, gaze stabilization helps the central nervous system by adapting to a loss or a change in vestibular system...
input. Finally, the substitution exercises organize the use of the remaining sensory inputs to aid postural control.

Giray et al. [24] in a randomized controlled trial assessed the short-term effects of VRT exercises on the symptom, disability, balance, and postural stability in adults with chronic unilateral vestibular dysfunction (UVD). They reported significant improvements in symptom, disability, balance, and postural stability in the affected patients following an exercise program indicating that customized exercise programs can be adapted to suit the specific needs of patients suffering from chronic UVD.

To our knowledge, so far, few studies have employed VRT for patients with UVH. Therefore, this prospective study was aimed to examine the efficacy of VRT on different symptoms of adult patients with UVH.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

A total of 31 patients (M/F: 16/15; mean age: 39.48 (±10.96); range: 24 to 59 years) participated in this study. These patients were diagnosed as UVH (>1 year) at the department of otorhinolaryngology between August 2015 and September 2017. Exclusion criteria included a history of symptoms duration of fewer than 2 months, neurological disorder, cognitive impairment, post-traumatic vertigo, cervical problem, cerebrovascular diseases, and body balance rehabilitation in the last 6 months. The diagnosis of UVH was based on the positive clinical head impulse test and criterion of >25% reduced vestibular responses on the caloric test during videonystagmography assessment (ICS Chartr, GN Otometrics, Denmark).

The protocols and all experimental procedures of the study were approved by the ethics committee of the local research committee (Registration code: IR.AJUMS.REC.1397.497), which were in complete agreement with the ethical regulations of human studies set by the Helsinki declaration (2013). After the enrolment of all subjects and before the start of the study, researchers completely and clearly explained all objectives and protocols of the study and possible benefits and side effects of the treatments to all participants, and then, all of the patients filled and signed a written consent form on their participation in the study.

**Experimental Procedures**

After taking a detailed case history, and performing a physical and vestibular function assessments, an exercise plan was developed for each patient. The VRT program consisted of habituation and adaptation exercises in combination with gait and balance exercises [13, 24, 25].

The VRT habituation exercises alleviate vertigo/dizziness through repetition of symptom-provoking head movements. For this reason, participants were instructed to fix gaze during ambulation to stabilize walking and to decrease veering to the side or to stand on the foam with a closed eye to keep their balance status.

During adaptation exercises, patients were initially asked to move their heads in yaw rotation while focusing on a stationary target, X1 viewing. They then progressed to X2 viewing, in which the goal and the head rotated in equal and opposite yaw directions. These exercises were conducted in vertical and horizontal planes three times a day for one minute each.

All participants were asked to perform the exercises 4 to 5 times daily for a total of 20 to 30 minutes per day plus 20 minutes of balance and gait exercises.

The handicapping influences of dizziness were measured using a dizziness handicap inventory (DHI) scale. This scale consisted of 25 items that quantify the level of respondent’s performance on physical (7 items), emotional (9 items), and functional (9 items) subscales. The DHI score for each item is calculated based on the subject’s answer: no (0 points), sometimes (2 points), or yes (4 points). The DHI scored from 0 to 100 points with higher scores indicating more handicap [26]. The DHI scores are also classified as the mild, moderate and severe degree of a handicap when the scores are between 0 to 30, 31 to 60 and 61 to 100 points, respectively [27].

Visual analogue scale (VAS) was used to measure the intensity of the symptoms. For VAS assessment, the patients were requested to rate the severity of their symptoms on a vertical 10-cm line. One end of the line was anchored with the statement “I feel perfectly steady” and the other end by “worst possible unsteadiness.”

The DHI and VAS scales were evaluated before VRT intervention and at “one-week”, “two-week” and “four-week” post-rehabilitation intervals.
Statistical Analysis

The data analyses were carried out with a statistical package of SPSS (SPSS Inc. Chicago, Illinois, USA, Version 21). Descriptive statistics were used to characterize the study population (mean and standard deviation, and percentage). Independent t-tests were used to compare continuous variables and Chi-square tests for categorical variables. Generalized estimating equations (GEE) regression analyses with robust standard errors were performed to identify potential predictors for the longitudinal alternation in DHI score per therapy session. The significance level was set at 0.05. All quantitative data are presented as mean (± Standard deviation).

RESULTS

Table 1 summarizes the demographic and baseline clinical characteristics of the subjects. Thirty-one patients with UVH met the inclusion criteria (16 males; 15 females) and entered into the study. The average age of participants was 39.48 (± 10.96) years (range: 24-60 years). The mean vestibular asymmetry was 44.06% (±8.31), and the meantime from the onset was 4.58 (± 2.28) years.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of the Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>n(%) or mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>39.48 (±10.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms (year)</td>
<td>4.58 (± 2.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of hearing loss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>8 (25.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>10 (32.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>8 (25.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>5 (16.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16 (61.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15 (48.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the primary outcome, DHI, the GEE analysis indicated a significant main effect of Time (p < 0.001).

The mean total DHI score significantly decreased by 15 points after one week, 24 points after two weeks and 15 points after four weeks of the last VRT session. The current evidence suggests that a change of > 18 points in DHI scale is defined as the minimal clinically significant treatment for the vestibular function (Table 2).

Figure 1 demonstrates the total DHI values at different times of assessments. An ANOVA analysis showed a significant reduction and a consequent improvement in DHI scores after vestibular exercises in all domains: emotional, physical and functional (p < 0.001).

Table 2: Comparison of the Mean Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) Scores at Different Time Points of Assessments. The Data are Presented as Mean (±SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Vestibular rehabilitation phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-VRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHI-physical</td>
<td>16.13 (±3.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHI-emotional</td>
<td>17.42 (±4.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHI-functional</td>
<td>20.32 (±3.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHI-total</td>
<td>53.87 (±8.53)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mean baseline VAS score was 7.71 (±0.91) that significantly decreased at one, two, and four weeks post-intervention to 4.81 (±0.73), 3.77 (±0.61), and 3.16 (±0.97), respectively (Table 4).

In terms of VAS values, GEE analysis showed that the effect of degree of hearing loss (p>0.05), gender (p=0.45), age (p=0.413), and vestibular symptom's duration (p=0.98) on therapy outcomes were not statistically significant (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

The results of the current study indicated that a customized VRT could significantly improve the dizziness-related disability in a majority of the patients with chronic UVH. Our findings showed a significant reduction in DHI scores compared with the baseline values that persisted for 4 weeks post-intervention. In the initial visit, 71% (22/31) of the patients experienced moderate or severe dizziness handicap. However, only
19% (6/31) of individuals showed a moderate degree of disability at the end of the VRT course. These findings are inconsistent with Topus et al. [28] findings which indicated a remarkable improvement of symptoms (77%) in patients with chronic UVH, while in 23% of cases no effective changes were observed.

Similarly, Verdecchia et al. [29] reported that VRT significantly reduced the baseline mean DHI score (40 to 24 points) in patients with chronic UVH.

We also observed an improvement in the severity of symptoms, as assessed by the VAS, following rehabilitation exercises. Although the baseline VAS score was rather high (mean: 7.71 ±0.91), it decreased significantly following the VRT.

It has been demonstrated that UVH made significant changes in neural activity patterns of the vestibular nuclei on either side of the midline of the brainstem. It also influences the transmission of vestibular activity to the cerebellum and the reticular formation areas. In such situations, neuronal inputs arriving from the vestibular apparatus to ipsilateral vestibular nuclei will be decreased compared to that reaching to the contralateral nuclei. Therefore, the brain interprets the discrepancy between two incoming firing rates as a head rotation toward the contralateral side [30-32]. This may lead to a debilitating series of symptoms, including dizziness, vertigo, nausea, oscillopsia, distortions in the perception of body orientation and movement, postural instability, gait ataxia, or falling toward the affected side [1-3, 33, 34].

Vestibular rehabilitation exercises have been designed to accelerate and improve central nervous system compensation process following vestibular disorders. During the compensation process, the altered pattern of neural activity proceeds towards normal condition, and the symptoms of the UVH will be diminished. Although the majority of our study population recovered rapidly over time and lost most of their adverse symptoms following VRT exercises, the speed of symptom’s recovery showed significant between-subject variations [35]. Interestingly, some patients showed incomplete recovery process so that they continue to experience their unfavourable complaints even at the end of the VRT course. The reason for this poor recovery is not fully understood yet; however, some studies have concluded that there might be a critical period for the establishment of vestibular compensation [36, 37]. The UVH itself may inadvertently generate situations for chronic vestibular disorders. It seems that if the UVH procedure leaves some vestibular fibres intact and functional, the neural signals from these fibres may interfere with the vestibular compensation process [34].

In this study, we utilized a combination of habituation and adaptation exercises for the treatment of UVH. The efficacy of adaptation exercises has been indicated in patients with normal vestibular function and those with UVH. The adaptation interventions are based on the demonstrated ability of the vestibular system to modify the magnitude of the vestibulo-ocular reflex in response to a head movement [38, 39]. The habituation exercises are based on this hypothesis that repeated exposure to a provocative stimulus will reduce the motion-provoked symptoms. Clinical evidence shows that the habituation exercises could result in long-term alternations within the nervous system [38, 40].

Several factors might have contributed to a patient’s recovery following VRT. Results from our study showed that age did not affect the VRT outcomes. However, at discharge, older patients walked more slowly than younger patients. Furthermore, we did not find a relationship between the gender variable and DHI and VAS values. These results are inconsistent with the findings of Herman et al. [41] that reported specific patient characteristics, such as gender and age, were not correlated to any measured outcomes.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study indicated that four weeks of VRT could be an effective treatment option for complicated patients with UVH. The VRT exercises significantly improved the balance and postural stability and decreased the self-reported scores of the DHI scale.
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