Parental Factors Related to COVID-19 Prevention Behavior in Children with Intellectual Disability: Partial Least Square Structural Equation Modeling

Zumrotul Chairijah^{1,*}, M. Zen Rahfiludin², Ani Margawati³ and Annastasia Ediati⁴

¹Doctoral Program of Public Health, Faculty of Public Health, Diponegoro University, Indonesia ²Department of Public Health, Faculty of Public Health, Diponegoro University, Indonesia ³Department of Nutrition Science, Faculty of Medicine, Diponegoro University, Indonesia ⁴Faculty of Psychology, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia

Abstract: Efforts to prevent COVID-19 in children with intellectual disability require the role of parents. Even though the vaccine has been implemented, the most important effort is to implement health protocols. Implementing health protocols cannot be separated from knowledge, attitudes, intentions, subjective norms, and social support from parents. This research aims to determine the influence of knowledge, attitudes, intentions, subjective norms, and social support for parents. This research aims to determine the influence of knowledge, attitudes, intentions, subjective norms, and social support on the COVID-19 prevention behavior of parents of children with intellectual disability. This type of research is descriptive correlational research, and the developed model is validated using the partial least squares structural equality modeling (PLS-SEM) approach based on data collected from 100 parents of children with intellectual disabilities taken using purposive sampling at Semarang Municipal Special Schools. The study results show that parental characteristics, namely education, influence attitudes, which can ultimately affect parental intentions. Parental education also affects social norms, namely social support and subjective norms, which can determine COVID-19 prevention behavior. Parental education is a priority in public health strategies because it can directly shape attitudes, intentions, and social norms that can improve the health of children with intellectual disabilities. Health programs and education for parents must be focused and carried out consistently and continuously.

Keywords: Children's intellectual disability, COVID-19 preventive behavior, theory of planned behavior.

1. INTRODUCTION

Corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is one of the causes of death globally, ranking third in 2020 and second in 2021 [1]. More than 760 million cases and 6.9 million deaths have been recorded worldwide since 2019, but the actual number may be more than recorded [2]. In the United States, COVID-19 has attacked more than 1 million people and died from March 2020 to 2022 [2]. 15% of cases in the United States are suffered by people with intellectual disability, higher than those without intellectual disability, namely 7.9% [3]. African countries with COVID-19 in dormitories have a higher incidence than non-dormitory residents [3-5]. Indonesia cannot be separated from the COVID-19 incidence, with Jakarta being the largest center for COVID-19. Second place is West Java, third is East Java, fourth is East Kalimantan, and Central Java is in fifth place [6]. The incidence of COVID-19 in the city of Semarang is at the top in Central Java.

Everyone has the same opportunity to get COVID-19, including children with intellectual disability [7-9]. The incidence of COVID-19 in children still ranks at the top of the Asian level [10. Globally, vulnerable populations, including persons with disabilities, are marginalized, economically disempowered, often experience poor social conditions, and lack access to health care, education, and social services [11,12]. Various efforts have been made to overcome these events so people can avoid this outbreak [13, 14]. Prevention efforts are important so as not to be affected, even complications of COVID-19 [15]. One of the efforts to prevent the transmission of COVID-19 is to implement health protocols. However, teaching health protocols to children with intellectual disability is not an easy matter, requiring the role of parents with more frequent intensity. Parents' ignorance of health protocols is the cause of the unsuccessful prevention of COVID-19 in children with intellectual disability.

Several theories of health behavior are used to study preventive behavior. During a pandemic, preventive measures are crucial for shielding susceptible populations and the general public from harm and preventing the virus from spreading across the population [16, 17]. A theoretical approach to behavioral research offers a potential way to investigate the factors that influence various preventive and professional actions in public health [18-20]. The theory of planned behavior (TPB) is one such theory that is frequently and effectively applied in social behavioral research and public health [21,22].

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Doctoral Program of Public Health, Faculty of Public Health, Diponegoro University, Indonesia; E-mail: zumrotulnwu@gmail.com

According to the theory of planned behavior, an individual's purpose to conduct determines their behavior, which is influenced by a number of internal and external circumstances. Factors are behavioral behaviors, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control. The first aspect, attitudes, shows how a person feels about their behavior and whether or not they have positive or negative opinions about it. Subjective norms, the second aspect, describes how significant others are believed to demand things of you and how motivated you are to live up to them. The third component is perceived behavioral control or the judgment of how simple or complex the behavior is to carry out [23-25].

While there is substantial research on COVID-19 prevention and its impact on communities, there is a significant gap in understanding the specific behaviors and challenges faced by parents of children with intellectual disabilities who have real specific challenges in implementing prevention measures. The existing literature often overlooks the unique barriers these parents face and how their perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors impact their ability to implement health protocols effectively. This research aims to find out what factors influence the behavior of parents of children with disabilities in preventing COVID-19based on the TPB theory.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Design and Participants

This type of research is descriptive correlational. Respondents were parents who had children with mild intellectual disabilityat Semarang Municipal Special Schools, using a purposive sampling technique.The total sample is 100 respondents. Data collection was carried out directly from respondents. Instrument trials were carried out in three Special Schools in Semarang Regency. The research was conducted from October 2021 to January 2022.

2.2. Research Tool

This research instrument is a structured questionnaire created by the researcher, which consists of six parts. The first section contains the characteristics of the respondents, including age, education, gender, history of COVID-19, and history of vaccines. The second part includes twelve knowledge questions with correct (B) and incorrect (S) answer choices, with poor and good categories. The third

section contains fifteen parental attitude questions with answer choices: strongly agree (SS), agree (S), disagree (KS), and disagree (TS), with negative and positive attitude categories. The fourth section contains twelve questions about parental intentions, with answers to the questions of strongly agree (SS), agree (S), disagree (KS), and disagree (TS), with the categories of poor intentions and good intentions. The fifth section contains fifteen questions about parental behavior with answer choices of never (TP), sometimes (KD), and often (SR) with poor and good categories. The sixth section contains twelve parental subjective norm questions with answer choices of strongly disagree (STS), disagree (TS), agree (S), neutral (N), and strongly agree (SS) with poor and good categories. The seventh section contains twelve social support questions with answer choices of strongly disagree (STS), disagree (TS), neutral (N), agree (S), and strongly agree (SS).

2.3. Model Development

Partial Least Square Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) was used for this study. A hypothetical model was established for conducting PLS-SEM analysis based on existing health behavior change models and theories of the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB). "Characteristics" are treated as the exogenous variable; in PLS-SEM, the term "exogenous variable" is used instead of the independent variable. "Attitude", "subjective norms", and "perceived behavior control" were treated as the first-level endogenous variables or mediators. Instead of dependent variables, the PLS-SEM analysis uses the term "endogenous variables". "Intention" is treated as a second-level endogenous variable or mediator. "Covid-19 prevention behavior" was selected as an outcome." Characteristics" is a latent variable with three measurable variables, namely age with a scoring of 1=young, 2=mature, and 3=old, education with a scoring of 1=basic, 2=intermediate, and 3=high and sex with scoring 0=man and 1=women. "Attitude" with scoring 0=negative and 1=positive, and "intention" were single-measured variables with scoring 0=not good and 1=good. "Subjective norms" is a latent variable with two measurable variables, namely subjective norms and social support, with scores 0=not good and 1=good. "Perceived behavior control" is a latent variable with three measurable variables, namely knowledge with a score of 0=not good and 1=good, covid status with a score of 0=covid-19 and 1=non covid-19, and vaccine status with scoring 0=non vaccine and 1=vaccine. "Covid-19 prevention behavior" with scoring 0=not good and 1=good.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

Age 8 8 Mature Old 72 72 Old 20 20 Education 27 27 Intermediate High 54 54 19 19 9 Sex 3 33 Attitude 33 83 Attitude 51 51 Negative Positive 51 51 Social supports 49 49 Social supports 24 42 Not good 58 58 Good 91 91 Social supports 24 42 Knowledge 91 91 Covid-19 86 86 Vaccine status 85 85 Covid-19 14 14 Non vaccine 85 85 Vaccine status 85 85 Covid-19 prevention behavior 85 85 Vaccine status 85 85 Covid-19 prev	Variables	f	%		
Young Mature Old 8 72 2 8 72 2 Old 20 Education 27 54 27 54 Basic Intermediate High 27 54 27 54 Basic Intermediate High 27 54 27 54 Sex 51 53 51 51 Man Women 37 63 17 63 Women 33 83 Attitude 33 33 Attitude 51 49 51 49 51 49 Subjective norms 51 600 61 84 84 Social supports 16 600 16 84 84 Social supports 16 9 9 9 9 9 Knowledge 51 86 60 16 86 Covid 19 600 9 14 14 86 86 Vaccine status 15 85 85 85 85 Covid-19 prevention behavior 85 85 85 Covid-19 prevention behavior 15 85 85 85 Covid-19 prevention behavior 15 86 85 85	Age		·		
Mature Old72 2072 20EducationEducationEducationEducationBasic Intermediate High27 54High19SexSexWomen83AttitudeVomen83AttitudeSeySeySeySeySeySeySeySeySola suportsSocial supportsSocial s	Young	8	8		
Old2020EducationEducationBasic Intermediate High27Alar Women541919SexMan Women13AttitudeKattudeSexSexMan Women63Man Basic17 BasicMan Women61Social supports49Social supports61Good68Good9Social supports14Kegative Good9Social supports9Social supports14Not good Good9Social supports14Not good Good16Social supports16Social supports16Not good Good9Social supports16Social supports16 <td>Mature</td> <td>72</td> <td>72</td>	Mature	72	72		
EducationBasic Intermediate High2727Basic Intermediate High545454545454545499199Sex8383Attirute8383Attirute4949Subjective norms4949Subjective norms1616Good8484Social supports1010Good5858Good9996009191Covid-191414Non covid-191686Vaccine status1515Covid-19 natcine vaccine1515Non vaccine vaccine1515Covid-19 not spoot vaccine1515Not good666868	Old	20	20		
Basic 27 27 Intermediate 54 54 High 19 19 Sex	Education				
Intermediate High5454Night19SexMan17Women83AttitudeAttitudeNegative Positive51Subjective normsSubjective n	Basic	27	27		
High 19 19 Sex	Intermediate	54	54		
Sex Man Women 17 83 17 83 Attitude 83 Attitude 51 9 soltive 51 9 d9 Subjective norms 49 49 Subjective norms 16 16 6 Good 16 84 84 Social supports 0 16 84 84 84 Social supports 0 16 9 16 9 </td <td>High</td> <td>19</td> <td>19</td>	High	19	19		
Man Women 17 83 17 83 Attitude 83 83 Attitude 51 51 Positive 49 49 Subjective norms 49 49 Subjective norms 16 16 Good 84 84 Social supports 6 6 Social supports 42 42 Not good 42 42 Good 9 9 Good 91 91 Mon good 91 91 Good 91 91 Covid-19 86 66 Vaccine status 15 65 Vaccine 15 65 Covid-19 prevention behavior 15 65 Covid-19 prevention behavior 15 65	Sex				
Women8383AttitudeNegative Positive5151Positive4949Subjective normsSubjective normsNot good1616Good8484Good8484Social supports4242Not good5858Good99Good9191Storied Supports5091Knowledge5366Covid-191414Non covid-198686Vaccine status1515Vaccine1565Covid-19 prevention behavior8565Covid-19 prevention behavior3232Not good6868Social Supports6868	Man	17	17		
Attitude Negative Positive 51 49 51 49 Subjective norms 49 Subjective norms 16 Good 84 84 Good 84 84 Social supports 58 58 Good 42 42 Not good 64 9 Good 91 91 Good 91 91 Good 91 91 Covid-19 14 14 Non covid-19 86 85 Vaccine status 15 85 Covid-19 prevention behavior 85 85 Covid-19 prevention behavior 85 85	Women	83	83		
Negative Positive 51 51 Positive 49 49 Subjective norms	Attitude				
Positive4949Subjective normsNot good1616Good8484Social supports016Not good5868Good4242Knowledge99Social supports9191Social supports9191Social supports1414Not good9191Good1414Non covid-198686Vaccine status1515Covid-19 prevention behavior8585Covid-19 prevention behavior3232Not good3268	Negative	51	51		
Subjective norms Not good 16 16 Good 84 84 Social supports 84 Not good 58 58 Good 42 42 Knowledge 9 Not good 9 9 Good 91 91 Good 91 91 Covid-19 14 14 Non covid-19 86 86 Vaccine status 85 85 Covid-19 prevention behavior 85 85 Covid-19 prevention behavior 86 68 Not good 32 32 Good 68 68	Positive	49	49		
Not good 16 16 Good 84 84 Social supports	Subjective norms				
Good 84 84 Social supports -	Not good	16	16		
Social supportsInstanceNot good5858Good4242Knowledge99Sood9191Good9191Covid-191414Non covid-198686Vaccine status8585Vaccine status1585Covid-19 prevention behavior8585Covid-19 prevention behavior3232Good686868	Good	84	84		
Not good 58 58 Good 42 42 Knowledge 9 9 Not good 91 91 Good 91 91 Covid-19 14 14 Non covid-19 86 86 Vaccine status 15 85 Covid-19 prevention behavior 15 85 Ood 32 32 Good 32 32 Good 32 32 Good 32 32 Good 32 32	Social supports				
Good4242KnowledgeNot good9Good91Good91Covid statusCovid-1914Non covid-1986Vaccine statusVaccine statusCovid-1915Vaccine statusNon vaccine85Covid-19 prevention behaviorNot good32Good68	Not good	58	58		
KnowledgeNot good9Good91Good91Ood91Covid status0Covid-1914Non covid-1986Vaccine status86Vaccine status15Non vaccine15vaccine85Covid-19 prevention behavior32Not good32Good68	Good	42	42		
Not good 9 9 Good 91 91 Covid 9 91 91 Covid-19 14 14 Non covid-19 86 86 Vaccine status 15 85 Vaccine status 85 85 Covid-19 prevention behavior 32 32 Good 68 68	Knowledge				
Good9191Covid statusCovid-191414Non covid-198686Vaccine statusVaccine status1515vaccine8585Covid-19 prevention behavior3232Not good686868	Not good	9	9		
Covid statusCovid-191414Non covid-198686Vaccine status1515Vaccine8585Covid-19 prevention behavior5232Not good3232Good6868	Good	91	91		
Covid-191414Non covid-198686Vaccine status1515Vaccine8585Covid-19 prevention behavior3232Not good6868	Covid status				
Non covid-198686Vaccine status1515Non vaccine8585vaccine8585Covid-19 prevention behavior3232Not good6868	Covid-19	14	14		
Vaccine statusNon vaccine15vaccine85Solution behaviorNot good32Good68	Non covid-19	86	86		
Non vaccine1515vaccine8585Covid-19 prevention behavior32Not good3232Good6868	Vaccine status	1	1		
vaccine8585Covid-19 prevention behaviorNot good3232Good6868	Non vaccine	15	15		
Covid-19 prevention behaviorNot good32Good686868	vaccine	85	85		
Not good 32 32 Good 68 68	Covid-19 prevention behavior				
Good 68 68	Not good	32	32		
	Good	68	68		

3. RESULTS

3.1. Descriptive Analysis

The majority of parents are mature, 72 respondents (72%). The majority of parents' education had intermediate education, with 54 respondents (54%). Most parents of children with disabilities are women, 83 (83%). Some parents have a negative attitude towards Covid-19, as many as 51 respondents (51%). Most parents had good subjective norms, 84 respondents (84%). Some parents do not provide good social support, as many as 58 respondents (58%). Most parents have good knowledge about Covid-19, 91 people (91%). The majority of parents do not have a history of Covid-19, 86 respondents (86%). 85 respondents (85%) have received the vaccine, and the

majority of parents have good Covid-19 prevention behavior, 68 respondents (68%).

3.2. Measurement Model Assessment

The following metrics were used to evaluate the outer model in this study: common method variance (CMV) severity, convergent validity and discriminant validity of each construct, and internal consistency and reliability of each item. The values of average variance extracted (AVE), composite reliability, and Cronbach's alpha are provided in Table **2**. recommended that composite reliability and Cronbach's alpha be used to evaluate the constructions' reliability. Measurements of two theoretically related constructs are related to each other to the extent that the construct has convergent validity. As can be seen in Table **2**, the AVE values fall

Constructs	Items	Factor Loading	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability	AVE
Characteristics	Education	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Attitude	Attitude	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Subjective norms	Social supports	0.915	0.410	0.530	0.760
	Subjective norms	0.629	1.000	1.000	1.000
Intention	Intention	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Covid-19 prevention behavior	Behavior	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

Table 2: Reliability and Validity Results

between 0.62 and 1.00, exceeding the suggested threshold value of 0.5. All constructions have factor loading values greater than 0.7, which suggests that they are appropriate. Based on the specified criteria, the results mentioned above validate the model's convergent validity and dependability.

3.3. Structural Model Assessment

The inner model was evaluated by utilizing Smart-PLS software to blindfold 500 resamples and perform a bootstrapping technique. The standard beta (β), t-value, p-value, R2 (coefficient of determination), and Q-square (Q2) values were acquired.

Based on Table **3**, attitude influences intention with a p-value of 0.000. Characteristics influence parents' attitudes towards preventing COVID-19 with a p-value of 0.030. Characteristics influence subjective norms with a p-value of 0.030, and subjective norms influence COVID-19 prevention behavior with a p-value of 0.000. Figure **1** shows that characteristics and attitudes have a 42.2% influence on parents' intentions to prevent COVID-19 and characteristics and subjective norms have a 16.1% influence on COVID-19 prevention behavior.

4. DISCUSSION

Education level also affects how preventive habits are used. Higher educated parents are more likely to adopt preventative measures against COVID-19, like frequent hand washing, mask use, and social isolation. This is especially important for children with intellectual disability, who might need more protection because of underlying medical issues [26]. Parental experiences and hardships during the epidemic have an impact on their attitudes toward vaccination and preventive measures. Accessing care and resources was reported to be extremely difficult for caregivers of children with impairments, which may have an impact on their attitudes toward preventative health care. These



Figure 1: Significance of inner model and results of path coefficient.

Table 3:	Path	Coefficient	s
----------	------	-------------	---

Relationship	Original sample	Standard deviation	T statistics	P-values	Decision
Attitude -> Intention	0.650	0.080	8.630	0.000**	Supported
Characteristics -> Attitude	-0.210	0.090	2.220	0.030*	Supported
Characteristics ->Subjective norms	-0.210	0.100	2.120	0.030*	Supported
Subjective norms -> Covid-19 prevention behavior	0.400	0.080	4.940	0.000**	Supported

** p < 0.001; * p < 0.05

attitudes are also shaped by parents' perceptions of the risk posed by COVID-19 and their need to protect their children immediately [27,28].

In particular, during the COVID-19 pandemic, social support is essential to the well-being of caregivers for children withintellectual disability. Frequently, caregivers depend on unofficial support networks, such as friends, family, and local resources, to cope with the distinct obstacles they encounter. Research has indicated that parents who experience more social support have lower levels of stress and anxiety, which can improve their capacity to put preventive measures in place for their kids. The information that is available to parents and the social support they get also influence their perspectives. People who have access to trustworthy information about COVID-19 initiatives and who perceive their communities as supporting them are more likely to view these interventions favorably. On the other hand, false information or a lack of support might cause people to doubt the efficacy of vaccinations and other health interventions [29,30].

The perception of societal pressure to participate in specific behaviors or subjective norms has a major impact on caregivers' intentions to use COVID-19 preventive measures. Caregivers are more inclined to emphasize health measures if they believe that their peers and community members do the same. This is especially important for kids with disabilities since parents may feel more pressure to shield their weaker kids [26].

Integrating COVID-19 prevention strategies into public health approaches for children with intellectual disability can serve as a model for addressing other health challenges faced by this population. This approach can be used to provide interventions for a variety of health issues in children, especially children with intellectual disability. By focusing on education, support, and community engagement, public health programs can create a comprehensive framework that addresses the unique needs of children with intellectual disability, thereby improving overall health outcomes.

5. CONCLUSION

Parental education can influence parents' attitudes toward preventing COVID-19 in children with disabilities. Parents' attitudes can <u>also</u>-influence parents' intentions in preventing the occurrence of COVID-19 in children with intellectual disabilities. Parental education can also influence the social views and norms that exist in the family. Social norms in the family can influence the prevention of COVID-19 in children with intellectual disabilities because they need help from those closest to them to maintain their health.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the Central Java Provincial Education Office and Special Schools in Semarang City, which have been directly involved in data collection.

FUNDING

No financial supported

ETHICALAPPROVAL

Health Research Ethics Committee Faculty of Public Health Diponegoro University number 317/EA/KEPK-FKM/2021.

CONFLICTSOFINTEREST

No Conflict of Interest

REFERENCES

- [1] WHO. COVID-19 eliminated a decade of progress in the global level of life expectancy. https: //www.who.int/news/item/24-05-2024-covid-19-eliminated-adecade-of-progress-in-global-level-of-life-expectancy. 2024.
- [2] WHO. Corona virus disease (COVID-19). https: //www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/coronavirusdisease-%28covid-19%29. 2023.

- [3] Turk MA, Landes SD, Formica MK, Goss KD. Intellectual and developmental disability and COVID-19 case-fatality trends: TriNetX analysis. Disabil Health J 2020; 13(3): 100942. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2020.100942</u>
- Chowdhury AZ, Jomo KS. Responding to the COVID-19 Pandemic in Developing Countries: Lessons from Selected Countries of the Global South. Development 2020; 63(2–4): 162-71. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41301-020-00256-y
- [5] McKinney EL, McKinney V, Swartz L. COVID-19, disability and the context of healthcare triage in South Africa: Notes in a time of pandemic. Afr J Disabil 2020; 9.
- https://doi.org/10.4102/ajod.v9i0.766

 [6]
 Olivia S, Gibson J, Nasrudin R. Indonesia in the Time of Covid-19. Bull Indones Econ Stud 2020; 56(2): 143-74. https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2020.1798581
- [7] Courtenay K, Perera B. COVID-19 and people with intellectual disability: impacts of a pandemic 2020; (2012). <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1609</u>
- [8] Cheung G, Rivera-rodriguez C, Martinez-ruiz A, Ma E, Ryan B, Burholt V, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on the health and psychosocial status of vulnerable older adults : study protocol for an observational study 2020; 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09900-1
- [9] Kaplan HI, Sadock BJ GJ. Sinopsis Psikiatri Ilmu Pengetahuan Perilaku Psikiatri Klinis. 7th ed. IM W, editor. Jakarta Indonesia: Binarupa Aksara, Jakarta 1997.
- [10] Wuragil Z. Data IDAI: 2.712 anak Di Indonesia COVID-19, 51 meninggal [Internet]. Tempo.com 2020. Available from: https: //tekno.tempo.co/read/1368672/data-idai-2-712-anak-diindonesia-positif-corona-51-meninggal
- [11] Mbazzi FB, Nalugya R, Kawesa E, Nimusiima C, King R, van Hove G, et al. The impact of COVID-19 measures on children with disabilities and their families in Uganda. Disabil Soc 2022; 37(7): 1173-96. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2020.1867075</u>
- [12] Sabatello M, Landes SD, McDonald KE. People With Disabilities in COVID-19: Fixing Our Priorities. The American Journal of Bioethics 2020; 20(7): 187-90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2020.1779396</u>
- [13] Notoatmodjo S. Metodologi Penelitian Kesehatan. revisi cet. Jakarta Indonesia: PT Rieneka Cipta 2012; 112-113.
- [14] Wibowo EA. No. Tempo.com 2021.
- [15] Korber K, Becker C. Expert opinions on good practice in the evaluation of health promotion and primary prevention measures related to children and adolescents in Germany 2017; 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4773-y
- [16] Ranjit YS, Shin H, First JM, Houston JB. COVID-19 protective model: the role of threat perceptions and informational cues in influencing behavior. J Risk Res 2021; 24(3–4): 449-65. https://doi.org/10.1080/13669877.2021.1887328
- [17] Kowalski RM, Black KJ. Protection Motivation and the COVID-19 Virus. Health Commun 2021; 36(1): 15-22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2020.1847448</u>
- [18] Sisay AL, Getahun HA, Getachew N, Gebremedhin T, Sebero FM, Birhanu A, et al. Barriers and Intention to Get Vaccinated for COVID-19 and Associated Factors Among

Received on 25-07-2024

Accepted on 11-09-2024

Published on 20-09-2024

https://doi.org/10.6000/2292-2598.2024.12.03.4

© 2024 Chairijah et al.

This is an open-access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

Adults in Southwest Ethiopia: A Theory of Planned Behavior Approach. Infect Drug Resist 2023; 16: 5741-54. https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S419952

- [19] Andarge E, Fikadu T, Temesgen R, Shegaze M, Feleke T, Haile F, et al. Intention and Practice on Personal Preventive Measures Against the COVID-19 Pandemic Among Adults with Chronic Conditions in Southern Ethiopia: A Survey Using the Theory of Planned Behavior. J Multidiscip Health 2020; 13: 1863-77. https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S284707
- [20] Semungus A, Tafese Z, Semella T. Application of the Theory of Planned Behavior to Assess the Determinants of HIV/AIDS Risk among High School Students in Hawassa City, Ethiopia. J Community Public Health Nurs 2017; 03(01). <u>https://doi.org/10.4172/2471-9846.1000151</u>
- [21] Ajzen I. The theory of planned behavior. Organ Behav Hum Decis Process 1991; 50(2): 179-211. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T</u>
- [22] Raissian KM, Su JH. The best of intentions: Prenatal breastfeeding intentions and infant health. SSM Popul Health 2018; 5: 86-100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2018.05.002
- [23] Servidio R, Malvaso A, Vizza D, Valente M, Campagna MR, lacono M Lo, et al. The intention to get COVID-19 vaccine and vaccine uptake among cancer patients: An extension of the theory of planned behaviour (TPB). Supportive Care in Cancer 2022; 30(10): 7973-82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-022-07238-5
- [24] Latkin CA, Dayton L, Kaufman MR, Schneider KE, Strickland JC, Konstantopoulos A. Social norms and prevention behaviors in the United States early in the COVID-19 pandemic. Psychol Health Med 2022; 27(1): 162-77. https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2021.2004315
- [25] Nguyen NPT, Hoang TD, Tran VT, Vu CT, Siewe Fodjo JN, Colebunders R, *et al.* Preventive behavior of Vietnamese people in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. PLoS One 2020; 15(9): e0238830. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238830
- [26] Saeed T, Tahir S, Mahmood N, Tomy N, Fernando A, Sy A, Mahalingam A. The Impact of COVID-19 on Parents of Children with Special Needs. Journal of Anxiety & Depression 2020; 3(2): 129. https://doi.org/10.46527/2582-3264.129
- [27] UNICEF. UNICEF Fact Sheet: Children with disabilities. https: //www.unicef.org/sites/default/files/2022-10/GIP02115_UNICEF_Children-with-Disabilities-Factsheetfinal%20-%20accessible.pdf. 2022.
- [28] Shapiro A, Bassok D. Supporting Young Children With Disabilities During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Evidence From Caregivers in Virginia. AERA Open. 2022; 8: 233285842211345. https://doi.org/10.1177/23328584221134525
- [29] Dzobo M, Chitungo I, Dzinamarira T. COVID-19: a perspective for lifting lockdown in Zimbabwe. Pan African Medical Journal 2020; 35(Supp 2). <u>https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2020.35.2.23059</u>
- [30] Mackworth-Young CR, Chingono R, Mavodza C, McHugh G, Tembo M, Chikwari CD, et al. Community perspectives on the COVID-19 response, Zimbabwe. Bull World Health Organ 2021; 99(2): 85-91. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.20.260224